The influence of estradiol and progesterone on the concentrations of uterine oxytocin receptors and plasma PGFM in response to oxytocin in ovariectomized gilts
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Abstract — Peripubertal gilts (n = 25) were treated with corn oil (CO) or ovarian steroids, one month following an ovariectomy. The first day of treatment was assigned as the first day of the experiment. The gilts received: Group (Gr) I (n = 4) – CO (2 mL·day−1 from 1st to 12th day), Gr II (n = 4) and Gr III (n = 4) – progesterone (P4; 10 to 100 mg·day−1 from 1st to 12th day), Gr IV (n = 5) – estradiol benzoate (EB; 400 μg·day−1 from 1st to 3rd day), Gr V (n = 4) and Gr VI (n = 4) – EB + P4 (EB 400 μg·day−1 from 1st to 3rd day, 20 μg·day−1 at 6th and 9th day, 50 μg at 12th day plus P4 10 to 100 mg from 4th to 15th day). All gilts were injected with oxytocin (OT; 20 IU; i.v.) on the following days of the experiment: 13th (Gr I and Gr II), 15th (Gr III and Gr IV), 16th (Gr V) and 18th (Gr VI). Concentrations of the PGF2α metabolite – PGFM were determined in blood samples, collected from 30 min before to 120 min after OT injection. Baseline PGFM concentrations (30 min before OT) differed among treatment groups and were the highest in Gr V and Gr VI (P < 0.01 vs. other groups). The magnitude of the PGFM response to OT increased only in four of the five gilts of Gr IV and in three of the four gilts of Gr VI, and it was higher (P = 0.009) in Gr VI than in Gr IV. In the remaining groups, PGFM concentrations did not increase above the baseline in response to OT. The day after OT injection, oxytocin receptors (OTR) were found in the uterine tissues of all animals studied. The lowest OTR concentrations were in Gr I – 75.5 ± 11.2 fmol·mg protein−1 and the highest in Gr IV – 712.9 ± 86.7 fmol·mg protein−1; (P < 0.05 vs. other groups). The values of Kd of OTR differed among groups (P < 0.001) and ranged from 1.62 ± 0.44 nM in Gr I to 12. 08 ± 1.9 nM in Gr VI. A positive correlation (r = 0.54; P < 0.01) between plasma E2 and uterine OTR concentrations was observed. In conclusion, E2 and P4 are involved in both PGF2α secretion/synthesis, plasma PGFM concentrations, and OTR formation, however, full PGF2α response to OT does not develop before puberty. Estrogens are evident stimulators of uterine OTR synthesis in gilts.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Oxytocin binding with its own uterine receptor is involved in the regulation of PGF$_{2\alpha}$ secretion in pigs [50, 51] and ruminants [12, 31]. Concentrations of specific OTR in the endometrium and PGF$_{2\alpha}$ respond to OT challenge change during the course of the oestrous cycle in gilts [10, 20, 33, 51], ewes [43, 48] and cows [13, 31]. Generally, the highest OTR concentrations are observed during oestrus and the lowest in the mid-luteal phase. Elevation in both mRNA OTR and OTR concentrations in the luminal epithelium of the endometrium is observed around luteolysis in the ewes [37, 41, 44]. However, in pigs, luteolysis is not preceded by a temporal increase in endometrial OTR [29, 33]. In sows, the concentration of plasma PGF$_{2\alpha}$ metabolite (PGFM) significantly increased in response to the OT administered around luteolysis (days 14–16), but there is no response during the early luteal phase (days 4–6) and a weak response is found in the mid-luteal phase (days 9–12) of the oestrous cycle [6, 20]. These data indirectly suggest that cyclic changes of estrogens (E$_2$) and the P$_4$ level during the oestrous cycle may influence uterine OTR concentration and PGF$_{2\alpha}$ response to OT. The results of an in vitro study on luminal epithelial cells of pregnant ovine endometrium showed that the expression of the OTR gene was stimulated by E$_2$ and inhibited by P$_4$ [28].

Functional OTR were measured in endometrial cells of ovariectomized (OVX) ewes and cows treated with E$_2$ and P$_4$ [3, 24, 27, 41]. The regulatory effect of steroids on OTR concentration is dependent on the following: (1) the duration of the exposure of uterine cells to E$_2$ and P$_4$; (2) the availability of respective steroid receptors in these cells; (3) the ratio of plasma concentrations of E$_2$ and P$_4$ and; (4) the sequence of hormone action on target uterine cells [49]. Ovarian steroids are also necessary for the biosynthesis of PGF$_{2\alpha}$ in endometrial cells [7, 8]. Estrogens and P$_4$ are involved in the accumulation of lipid droplets and the accessibility of arachidonic acid in endometrial cells [38]. Estrogens affect the activity of the cyclooxygenase pathway [7] whereas P$_4$ affects prostaglandin synthase [8]. Edgerton et al. [11] showed that OVX sows must receive both E$_2$ and P$_4$ replacement for the secretion of PGF$_{2\alpha}$ in response to OT. However, their study did not explain the role of E$_2$ and P$_4$ in uterine OTR formation in sows. Based on the results of the experiments in ewes [3, 41, 47] and cows [24] and presumably also in sows, E$_2$ and P$_4$ are involved in this process. Therefore, in this study the peripubertal gilts were ovariectomized and treated with EB and P$_4$ to determine the role of E$_2$ and P$_4$ in both PGF$_{2\alpha}$ secretion in response to OT and in uterine OTR production.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Animals and experimental design

Peripubertal gilts (n = 25) were used in this study. One month after ovariectomy, a polyvinyl chloride catheter was inserted into the jugular vein [23] of seven to eight month-old gilts (mean body weight 100 ± 9 kg). The gilts were divided into six groups and received as follows: Gr I (n = 4) – CO (2 mL·day$^{-1}$ from the 1st to 12th day), Gr II (n = 4) and Gr III (n = 4) – P$_4$ (10 to 100 mg·day$^{-1}$ from the 1st to 12th day), Gr IV (n = 5) – EB (400 µg·day$^{-1}$ from the 1st to 3rd day), Gr V (n = 4) and Gr VI (n = 4) – EB + P$_4$ (EB; 400 µg·day$^{-1}$ from the 1st to 3rd day, 20 µg·day$^{-1}$ at the 6th and 9th day and 50 µg at the 12th day plus P$_4$ 10 to 100 mg·day$^{-1}$ from the 4th to 15th day). The first day of injection was assigned as the first day of the experiment. Half of the daily dose of injected substances was given at 8.00 a.m. and the other half at
2.2. Blood samples and uterine tissue collection

Before the morning injection of CO or hormones, blood samples were collected once daily for determining E2 and P4 plasma concentrations. On the day of OT administration, gilts were bled every 10 min for 0.5 hour before and every 5 to 10 min for two hours after OT injection, for plasma PGFM and OT analysis.

Because the endometrium was not fully developed, especially in gilts of Gr I and Gr IV, the fragments of the middle part of the uterine wall (5 g) were isolated from all studied gilts immediately after they were slaughtered. These tissues were frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at –70 °C until OTR concentrations could be analysed.

2.3. Plasma hormone determination

Plasma hormones were determined by RIA according to: Homanics and Silvia [18] for PGFM, Ottobre et al. [35] for P4, Hotchkiss et al. [19] for E2 and Schams and Prokopp [40] for OT. The specificity of the antibodies and assay validation of these hormones were described earlier [9, 21, 22, 45]. Intra- and inter-assay coefficients of variations in these studies were: PGFM – 3.8% and 4.7%; P4 – 3.8% and 5.3%; E2 – 3.4% and 4.1%; OT – 4.3% and 4.7%.

2.4. Determination of OTR concentration

Concentrations of OTR were determined according to Mirando et al. [32] and Whiteaker et al. [50]. The tissue was homogenised and then centrifuged at 80000 × g for 90 min in 4 °C. For the Scatchard curve construction, [3H]OT (spec. activity 9.25 MBq·mmol⁻¹; NEN Boston, USA) at concentrations of 0.125, 0.25, 0.5, 1 and 2 pmol and 400 pmol OT were used. The protein level was analysed according to the Bradford method [39]. The specificity of [3H]OT binding was indicated by displacement with related peptides, which was 96.23% for OT, 99.33% for (Tyr4, Gln7) – OT – and 41% for lisynvasopressin.

2.5. Statistical analysis

For verification of the accuracy of EB and P4 doses, the mean plasma concentrations of E2 and P4 were calculated for each day on which these hormones were injected. The mean (± SEM) plasma levels of P4, E2 and OT were also calculated on the days when OT was injected.

The baseline of PGFM was calculated for each gilt, as the mean of the concentrations from 30 to 0 min before OT injection. The magnitude of the PGFM response was defined as the maximum concentration of this metabolite, above the baseline, during the 30 min (0 to 30 min) after OT injection. These values were calculated for each gilt, but statistical analyses (by the Student T-test; Microsoft Excel 5.0/7.0) were done in four of the five gilts of Gr IV and in three of the four gilts of Gr VI. In the other groups studied, plasma PGFM levels increased in response to OT only in individual females. Plasma E2, P4, OT and baseline PGFM levels on the day of OT injection were
Table I. Schedule of treatment of the studied gilts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Days of the experiment</th>
<th>Groups and treatments</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gr I corn oil (mL)</td>
<td>Gr II and Gr III P₄ (mg)</td>
<td>Gr IV EB (μg)</td>
<td>Gr V EB (μg) + P₄ (mg)</td>
<td>Gr VI EB (μg) + P₄ (mg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>90</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>OT</td>
<td>OT</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>OT</td>
<td>OT</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>slaughter</td>
<td>slaughter</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>OT</td>
<td>OT</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>slaughter</td>
<td>slaughter</td>
<td>OT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OT (20 IU) was administrated i.v.; slaughter in local slaughterhouse.
compared between the groups with ANOVA using the GLM procedure of the Statistical Analysis System (SAS). ANOVA was also used for determining differences among the studied groups for OTR concentrations and their $K_d$ (dissociation constants).

3. RESULTS

3.1. Plasma concentrations of P₄, E₂ and OT after administration of these hormones

Plasma P₄ concentrations in Gr I (CO) and in Gr IV (EB) were low (mean ± SEM = 0.4 ± 0.09 ng·mL⁻¹) during all days of the experiment. In gilts receiving P₄ and EB + P₄ plasma P₄ increased gradually and the hormone concentrations plateaued on days 7 to 14 (Gr II and Gr III; 24.4 ± 1.3 ng·mL⁻¹) and 9 to 17 (Gr V and Gr VI; 27.3 ± 1.7 ng·mL⁻¹). On the days of OT injections, plasma P₄ levels differed ($P < 0.01$) between the groups: II and III as well as V and VI, depending on the time from the last P₄ injection (Tab. II, Fig. 1).

Mean plasma E₂ concentrations in gilts treated with corn oil (Gr I) or P₄ (Gr II and Gr III) were at the same level (25.98 ± 1.61 pg·mL⁻¹) during the whole experiment. The treatment of OVX gilts with EB or EB + P₄ produced high plasma E₂ concentrations and it ranged from 31.4 ± 1.6 pg·mL⁻¹ to 260.3 ± 1.6 pg·mL⁻¹ (Gr IV) and from 27.7 ± 1.6 pg·mL⁻¹ to 156.2 ± 39.9 pg·mL⁻¹ (Gr V and Gr VI). In these groups plasma E₂ concentrations were above 30 pg·mL⁻¹ from the second to the last day of the experiment (Tab. II, Fig 1).

Oxytocin administration (20 IU; i.v.) caused an elevation of its plasma concentrations from 19.0 ± 1.9 pg·mL⁻¹ before injection to 319.1 ± 45.3 pg·mL⁻¹ 5 min after injection. Oxytocin plasma level was high (73.2 ± 6.2 pg·mL⁻¹) during one hour after OT treatment and this did not differ ($P > 0.05$) among the groups studied.

3.2. The influence of steroid treatments and OT administration on plasma PGFM concentrations

The mean (± SEM) concentrations of PGFM on the days of OT injections (period from –30 to +120 min) are presented in Figure 1. On these days, baseline PGFM concentrations (period from –30 to 0 min) differed between the groups (Tab. II) and were the highest in the gilts receiving both steroids – Gr V and Gr VI ($P < 0.01$ vs. other groups). In the gilts treated with P₄ (Gr II and Gr III) or EB + P₄ (Gr V and

Table II. Mean (± SEM) plasma concentrations of P₄, E₂ (period from –30 to + 120 min) and PGFM (period from –30 to 0 min; baseline) in studied gilts on the days of experiment in which OT (20 IU) was administered.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Day of OT injection</th>
<th>P₄ (ng·mL⁻¹)</th>
<th>E₂ (pg·mL⁻¹)</th>
<th>PGFM (pg·mL⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I (corn oil)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.08⁵</td>
<td>20.2 ± 3.1⁵</td>
<td>69.1 ± 4.9⁵</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II (P₄)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>26.7 ± 2.1⁵</td>
<td>23.6 ± 1.3⁵</td>
<td>60.5 ± 5.8⁵</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III (P₄)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>8.6 ± 1.1⁵</td>
<td>29.1 ± 3.9⁵</td>
<td>101.8 ± 7.1⁵</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV (EB)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.1 a</td>
<td>60.9 ± 9.2 b</td>
<td>82.6 ± 8.1 ac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V (EB + P₄)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>29.8 ± 2.7 c</td>
<td>93.6 ± 20.6 c</td>
<td>128.6 ± 8.9 d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI (EB + P₄)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>7.5 ± 0.5 b</td>
<td>37.3 ± 3.4 b</td>
<td>258.6 ± 23.4 c</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a,b,c,d,,e Means within column with different superscripts differ ($P < 0.01$).
Figure 1. Effect of OT injection (20 IU; i.v.) on plasma PGFM concentrations (mean ± SEM) in ovariectomized gilts treated with corn oil (CO), progesterone (P₄), estradiol benzoate (EB) and EB + P₄. Bars present plasma P₄ and estradiol 17β (E₂) concentrations (mean ± SEM) on the day of experiment when OT (20 IU; i.v.) was injected (details in Tab. 1).
Uterine oxytocin receptors and plasma PGFM in gilts

P < 0.05 vs. other Groups), respectively. In gilts treated with EB + P_4 (Gr V and Gr VI) the mean OTR concentration was 234.1 ± 29.1 fmol·mg protein^{-1}. Uterine OTR concentration was positively correlated (r = 0.54; P < 0.01) with plasma E_2 level but was not correlated with the P_4 level (r = -0.08; P > 0.7).

An increase of plasma PGFM concentrations after OT injection were noticed in four of the five gilts of Gr IV (EB) and in three of the four gilts of Gr VI (EB + P_4). The magnitude of the PGFM response to OT was higher in gilts of Gr VI – 64.5 ± 10.4 pg·mL^{-1} than in gilts of Gr IV – 28.5 ± 4.2 pg·mL^{-1} (P = 0.009). The responses in the other groups were not significant.

3.3. Concentrations of OTR in uterine tissues

The mean (± SEM) uterine OTR concentrations are shown in Figure 2. Oxytocin receptors were present in the uterus of all studied gilts. In Gr I (CO) OTR concentration was the lowest (75.33 ± 11.2 fmol·mg protein^{-1}; P < 0.05 vs. other groups). The administration of P_4 (Gr II and Gr III) or EB (Gr IV) alone increased OTR concentrations by about three-fold (mean ± SEM 270.1 ± 31.7 fmol·mg protein^{-1}) and ten-fold (712.86 ± 86.74 fmol·mg protein^{-1}; P < 0.05 vs. other Groups), respectively. In gilts treated with EB + P_4 (Gr V and Gr VI) the mean OTR concentration was 234.1 ± 29.1 fmol·mg protein^{-1}. Uterine OTR concentration was positively correlated (r = 0.54; P < 0.01) with plasma E_2 level but was not correlated with the P_4 level (r = -0.08; P > 0.7).

The mean value of K_d of OTR for all groups studied was 6.15 ± 1.92 nM and it ranged from 1.62 ± 0.44 nM (Gr I) to 12.08 ± 1.9 nM (Gr VI). The value of K_d of OTR did not differ (P > 0.05) in Gr II vs. Gr III (mean ± SEM – 3.92 ± 0.53 nM) and in Gr V vs. Gr VI (mean ± SEM – 11.4 ± 2.13 nM). The significant differences of K_d were in Gr I vs. values in Gr IV (5.51 ± 1.15 nM; P < 0.05), Gr V and Gr VI (P < 0.001) and in Gr II and Gr III vs. values in Gr V and Gr VI (P < 0.001).

Plasma PGFM concentration after OT administration was not highly correlated (r = 0.1; P > 0.6) with the concentrations of uterine OTR.

4. DISCUSSION

The doses and frequency of P_4 administration in the studied gilts resulted in typical profiles and concentrations of plasma P_4 for
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the luteal phase of the oestrous cycle [52]. However, injections of EB increased plasma E2 concentrations considerably above the physiological level [5].

Baseline concentrations of PGFM were higher in the gilts treated with EB + P4 (Gr V and Gr VI), compared to the other groups. Thus the results of this study confirmed the interaction of E2 and P4 for PGF2α synthesis in the uterus [8, 38]. Simultaneously, it was shown that during P4 dominance PGF2α secretion had been suppressed. In gilts with higher plasma P4 levels, baseline PGFM concentrations remained lower (results of Gr II vs. Gr III and Gr V vs. Gr VI). In cyclic gilts basic and OT-stimulated plasma PGFM concentrations were higher on days 14–16 of the oestrous cycle, i.e. on days when plasma P4 started to decline [20, 36].

After a few days of activity of ovarian steroids in OVX gilts, OT administration had a weak influence on PGF2α secretion. The magnitude of the PGFM response above the baseline increased only in seven of the twenty-five studied gilts. The ineffectiveness of OT treatment was not due to the dose of the peptide used since the injection of 20 IU OT resulted in its plasma level being comparable to the values measured in sows during parturition [16], mating [22] or suckling [34]. Moreover, in our earlier study (Franczak, data unpublished) 20 IU OT were administered to cyclic gilts on days 15 to 18 of the oestrous cycle and resulted in a significant increase of plasma PGFM concentration. In this study plasma PGFM concentrations in OVX and steroid-primed gilts, were distinctly lower compared to the data of cyclic sows [10, 20, 36]. We ovariectomized peripubertal six to seven-month old gilts. Thus, our results suggest that high doses of ovarian steroids in peripubertal gilts are insufficient for PGF2α secretion/synthesis as is the case during the physiological oestrous cycle. In an in vitro study by Uenoyama et al. [46], endometrial cells of prepubertal gilts did not secrete PGF2α in response to OT until arachidonic acid was given into the culture medium. In OVX non-treated ewes [27] and prepubertal heifers [14], OT also had no influence on PGF2α secretion. Presumably, in prepubertal and OVX non-treated females, there is an insufficient expression of genes for enzymes of the PGF2α synthesis pathway [38]. Whereas, Edgerton et al. [11] showed that in OVX multiparous sows, receiving E2 and P4 replacement, PGFM plasma concentrations increased significantly in response to exogenous OT. In these sows basic and OT-stimulated plasma PGFM levels were about ten-fold higher compared to the results in the gilts in this study. However, our results and those by Edgerton et al. [11] agree about the interaction of E2 + P4 for PGF2α synthesis/secretion and the negative correlation between plasma P4 and PGFM response to OT.

Despite the PGFM response to OT in seven of the twenty-five gilts studied, OTR was present in the uterine wall of all these females. The low OTR concentration in the uterus of OVX-corn oil treated gilts increased about three-times and ten-times in response to injections of P4 and EB alone, respectively. In gilts receiving EB + P4 this increase was similar to that obtained in gilts treated with P4 alone. The results in cows [24] and ewes [41] showed that endometrial OTR concentrations were similar in animals treated with P4 and E2 alone as compared to those treated with P4 + E2. Likewise, in gilts with different plasma P4 levels (Gr II vs. Gr III and Gr V vs. Gr VI), OTR concentrations were similar. Thus in OVX gilts, both EB and P4 stimulated OTR synthesis in the uterine tissues, but the effect of EB was much more evident. During the physiological oestrous cycle, the highest endometrial OTR concentrations in gilts were also in the peri-oestrous period and the lowest were during P4 dominance (luteal phase) [33]. In OVX pubertal ewes [47] and cows [24], OTR concentrations in the uterine tissues were high immediately following ovariectomy and they decreased gradually.
thereafter. A low uterine OTR level was also noticed in prepubertal heifers [14] and OVX ewes three months following ovariec-
tomy [41]. The results of this study and those in heifers [14] and ewes [41] suggest that basic OTR concentration in females is independent of the ovarian steroids. On the contrary, it was shown that adrenal steroids of OVX gilts are the precursors of estrogens. These estrogens may cause an increase in the synthesis of endometrial glycoproteins, i.e. the 30-kDa glycoprotein [15]. It is possible that adrenal estrogens in OVX gilts may be involved in uterine OTR synthesis.

The effect of exogenous E2 or P4 was able to stimulate OTR synthesis in the uter-
ine tissues, lasting several days. Estrogens may directly influence the on OTR gene; these steroids have a sensitive element on the OTR gene, causing the elongation of poly(A)-mRNA and stabilising the transcript [1, 2]. Whereas in studies by Uenoyama et al. [46], in endometrial tissues pooled from prepubertal gilts, and the next incubated with E2 or P4, OTR level did not increase. However, forskolin and cAMP increased OTR markedly in these studies. It was supposed that the 24 h-lasting activity of ovarian steroids used in this study, was insufficient for demonstrating the stimu-
lar effect of steroids shown in an actual experiment. In conclusion, the results of the present study and those of Uenoyama [46] suggest that E2, P4 and yet unknown fac-
tor(s) acting throughout cAMP, are stimulat-
ors of OTR synthesis.

The dissociation constants of OTR in this study (mean ± SEM; 6.15 ± 1.9 nM) differed among groups and were higher compared to those obtained from the endometrium (2.32 ± 0.5 nM) and myometrium (2.07 ± 0.1 nM) of cyclic gilts, investigated under the same experimental conditions (Franczak et al., 2001, data unpublished) and those of other authors [33, 50]. These results show a lower affinity of the studied OTR. Ludwig et al. [29] suggest that the endometrial responsiveness to OT in cyclic gilts is con-
trolled at several levels of receptor activa-
tion, such as OTR population density, their affinity to OT and the subsequent stimula-
tion of a second messenger pathway.

In the present experiment, OTR concentra-
tion was studied in a mix of endometrial and myometrial cells. The results of our unpublished study in gilts (Franczak et al., 2001) indicate a similar hormonal control of OTR levels in both uterine tissues. On the contrary to data in gilts, E2 mainly influ-
enced post-receptor mechanisms in ewes, but had a weaker role in OTR synthesis [42]. In OVX cows, low E2 plasma concentra-
tions stimulate whilst high E2 concentra-
tions inhibit the synthesis of endometrial 
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