Quantitative aspects of blood and amino acid flows in cattle
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Summary — A quantitative literature review was undertaken on the amino acid fluxes in ruminants and the factors which influence them. Two aspects were considered: blood flow and amino acid uptake by tissues. The statistical relationships indicated that blood flow was influenced by feed intake, metabolizable energy and milk production. The rates of amino acid uptake and release by tissues and organs varied greatly between compartments and between amino acids. The net rates of appearance were the result of a combination of inter- and intratissue phenomena. Simulated balances between supply and requirements revealed the limiting role of certain amino acids, depending on milk production level and growth rate. In conclusion, this approach underlined the technical difficulties involved in obtaining this type of data, and also revealed a lack of data in certain areas. Nevertheless, the observations in this review supported the implementation of the feed units used at the present time, notably for the addition of amino acids.
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INTRODUCTION

Significant progress has been made during the last ten years in the area of applied ruminant protein nutrition thanks to the development of new unit systems (Vérité and Peyraud, 1989; Hvelplund and Madsen, 1990; Tamminga et al, 1994). In these systems, the efficiency of absorbed amino acids for milk protein synthesis is assumed to be constant for a given type of animal although Vérité and Peyraud (1989) have provided evidence for a non-linear relationship between PDI (true digestible protein in the intestine) supply and milk production in dairy cows. Recently, the problem of protein nutrition in lactating ruminants was complicated by the discovery of the limiting role of some amino acids, namely methionine and lysine (eg, Rulquin, 1987). To take this into account, Rulquin et al (1993) have designed a new version of the PDI system, integrating the curvilinear response of milk protein content and production to the supplies of these two amino acids. Despite this progress, large areas of uncertainty remain concerning the metabolic aspects of amino acid utilization: their use in relation to energy metabolism and the interactions between amino acids. These aspects could for example result in several amino acids becoming limiting from a dynamic point of view: they are in the body but not available for the tissue in question (Meijer et al, 1995).

To overcome this lack of knowledge, it appeared necessary to integrate the literature data and use modelling to analyse the knowledge of the major underlying quantitative aspects of amino acid metabolism, particularly at the level of key organs. Mechanistic models for the lactating cow have already been created (Baldwin et al, 1987; Danfaer, 1990). These models, however, are not appropriate in the light of present dogma because they assume the existence of only one aggregated pool of amino acids in the intermediary metabolism. They do not, therefore, allow the resolution of the above-mentioned uncertainties. During the last decades, a large number of experiments have been carried out to quantitate amino acids flows. The aim of this work was to pool and analyse this previously published data. Moreover, as the variation in blood flow plays a key role in the determination of the amino acid partition and exchange between organs, it was decided to dedicate part of this work to its prediction from simple zootechnical parameters.

METHOD

The current work was divided into several steps to analyse the collected information. First of all, articles dealing with ruminant, amino acid and protein intermediary metabolism as key-words were taken from the literature. Secondly, quantitative results (amino acid uptake, blood flow, etc) and associated factors (experimental design, factor tested, etc) or variables (body weight, dry matter intake, milk production level, etc) were collected and put together to constitute a data base. Each of these collected results was called an 'observation'. An observation was usually a treatment mean from cited work. As such, individual animals could influence more than one observation, especially when Latin square or crossover designs were used by the original investigators. Thirdly, all the observations were treated with statistical tools following several steps. The first one consisted of studying the homogeneity of the data set and in discarding observations which were largely out of an accepted range from either a biological or a statistical point of view. The biological basis was based on the fact that this study was mainly calibrated for lactating cows and growing cattle; consequently, data on new-born ruminants or in vitro experiments were excluded. For the latter aspect, the statistical range limit was set at two standard deviations from the mean obtained after an analysis of variance. The second step was to test different empirical models between the collected factors and variables, and the third was to discuss the validity of the calculated relationships.

The statistical package used was SAS (SAS, 1987) with the NLIN or GLM procedures. The models and statistical tools used for each data set are further described in the corresponding part of this text. To evaluate the consistency of the
statistical models found for each aspect and tissue, they were all integrated into a static empirical model of amino acid flows and partitioned in the intermediary metabolism either of lactating cows or growing cattle.

Tissue Blood Flows

As mentioned earlier, the goal of this review was to obtain the most accurate prediction possible of blood flows through the major organs from animal production parameters.

Blood flow through the splanchnic tissues

Observations were taken from 28 publications concerning growing steers, heifers or cows to build a file of 120 observations (Baird et al, 1975; Casse et al, 1994; Early et al, 1987; Eisemann and Huntington, 1994; Eisemann and Nienaber, 1990; Gross et al, 1988; Guerino et al, 1991; Hammond et al, 1987; Harmon et al, 1988, 1993; Huntington, 1982, 1984, 1987; Huntington and Prior, 1983; Huntington et al, 1983, 1988, 1990; Krehbiel et al, 1992; Kreikemeier et al, 1991; Lomax and Baird, 1983; McGuire et al, 1989; Prior et al, 1981; Reynolds and Huntington, 1988; Reynolds and Tyrrell, 1991; Reynolds et al, 1988, 1991, 1992; Wieghart et al, 1986). In the available data set, the method of blood flow measurement was systematically the PAH dilution technique of Katz and Bergman (1969), which was sometimes modified. Different blood flow measurement methods, such as the ultrasonic flow probe, are used in small animals. However, this technique does not yet give consistent results in large ruminants for portal blood flow (Huntington et al, 1990). Animal weight (W, kg), dry matter intake (DMI, kg/day), digestible (DEI, MJ/day) and metabolizable (MEI, MJ/day) energy intake, portal (PBF, L/day) and hepatic (HBF, L/day) blood flows, number of meals per day and duration of fasting were noted. A summary of the data set revealed that a wide range of animals and intake levels were taken into account (table I).

The dependent variables were PBF, HBF, PBF and HBF divided by the metabolic weight (to give PM and HM respectively, L/day/kg^{0.75}). The independent variables were W, DMI, DEI, MEI and MEI divided by the metabolic weight (MEM, kJ/day/kg^{0.75}). The models tested were global linear and quadratic relations between independent and dependent variables. The influence of the experimental factor was not tested. This decision was taken because the experiments were not primarily designed to study blood flow but rather the net appearance of nutrients in the blood stream, and in most cases there was no specific experimental effect on blood flow. Only the most relevant adjustments are presented (table II). The statistical discrimination between the models was based mainly on the observation of resid-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable (unit)</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Standard deviation</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>391.4</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>165–651</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry matter intake (kg/day)</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>7.50</td>
<td>10.17</td>
<td>1.93–21.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digestible energy intake (MJ/day)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>98.51</td>
<td>62.07</td>
<td>28.45–257.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metabolizable energy intake (MJ/day)</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>79.04</td>
<td>43.88</td>
<td>25.02–231.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portal blood flow (L/d)</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>19 564</td>
<td>7 483</td>
<td>8 064–47 808</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatic blood flow (L/d)</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>22 693</td>
<td>10 889</td>
<td>9 605–60 576</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ual patterns by plotting the observed minus the estimated data on the y-axis and the independent variable on the x-axis.

PBF and HBF were linearly related to MEI, DEI and DMI. The models involving MEI in table II are in agreement with those found by Ortigues (1991) and the PBF regression with DEI is also in agreement with Wieghardt et al (1986). Interestingly, these flows could also be fitted with S-shaped curves (Moore, 1985). These have a small increasing slope when the animal is severely underfed and a reduced slope compared with the prediction by the linear model for high level of intake. None of these models, however, proved to be better than the others, because of the lack of data for high feed intake levels. This stressed the lack of quantitative information concerning high blood flows, which is necessary to discriminate between models describing decreasing marginal increases for high portal and hepatic flows.

PBF was linearly correlated to W. In addition, HBF was statistically positively correlated to both W and DMI. PM (fig 1A) and HM (fig 1B) were linearly correlated to MEM. These four adjustments underline the importance of animal weight and, on the homo-orthetic side (Sauvant, 1994), the level of energy intake which increased hepatic and portal blood flows. The best predictor might be MEI (Reynolds et al, 1994a). However, measurements of MEI values are seldom published and their calculation from publications necessitates certain assumptions. Thus, the equations including DMI, which is more accurately determined than MEI, were also proposed for a practical purpose. The number of meals per day did not significantly influence the blood flow. This could be explained by the fact that most of the observations were mean values over an experimental period. If the animals were fed twice a day the authors observed a transitory increase in PBF after the meal (Mineo et al, 1991), and to reduce this effect the ration was generally given in numerous meals, such as every hour.

There was a close linear relation between HBF and PBF, only valid for HBF greater than PBF:

\[
PBF (L/day) = 1\,453.5 (540) + 0.738\,2 (0.022\,6) \times HBF (L/day)
\]

\[n = 51, R^2 = 0.96, RSD = 1607.5\]

PBF accounted for an average of 81.8% of HBF with a standard deviation of 6.85%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable (unit)</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Model</th>
<th>RSD</th>
<th>R²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HBF (L/day)</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>4 216 (1 039) + 207.5 (10.3) x MEI</td>
<td>3 548</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBF (L/day)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>7 330 (2 184) + 161.3 (17.2) x DEI</td>
<td>4 841</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBF (L/day)</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>5 951 (1 427) + 2 253 (163.7) x DMI</td>
<td>4 167</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBF (L/day)</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>307 (2 565) + 22.4 (9) \times W + 1 837 (224) x DMI</td>
<td>3 858</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBF (L/day)</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>6 115 (680) + 151 (7.5) x MEI</td>
<td>2 744</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBF (L/day)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>7 674 (1 379) + 119 (11.9) x DEI</td>
<td>3 547</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBF (L/day)</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>9 441 (1 034) + 1 452 (127) x DMI</td>
<td>4 522</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBF (L/day)</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>815 (2 587) + 47.7 (6.5) x W</td>
<td>5 735</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HM (L/day/kg⁰.⁷⁵)</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>64.2 (13.5) + 0.190 (0.013) x MEM</td>
<td>35.5</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM (L/day/kg⁰.⁷⁵)</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>83.7 (10.5) + 0.138 (0.011) x MEM</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* HBF: hepatic vein blood flow, PBF: portal vein blood flow, PM: PBF divided by the metabolic weight, HM: HBF divided by the metabolic weight, MEI: metabolizable energy intake (MJ/day) DMI: dry matter intake (kg/g), W: animal weight (kg), MEM: MEI divided by the metabolic weight. The standard errors of the parameters are given in brackets.
It must be emphasized that this ratio varied greatly and further experiments should be undertaken to explain these variations, which may have physiological implications. As the authors took into account the fact that HBF is the sum of PBF and hepatic artery blood flow, they could therefore calculate the hepatic artery blood flow. Due to errors associated with measurements, however, 'negative' hepatic artery fluxes could be obtained (Isserty and Ortigues, 1994). This demonstrates the need to understand the variations in the hepatic/portal veins ratio. On a daily basis it was possible to pre-
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**Fig 1. A. Relation between portal blood flow and ME intake per kg metabolic weight.**
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**Fig 1. B. Relation between hepatic blood flow and ME intake per kg metabolic weight.**
dict the amount of blood irrigating the splanchnic organs. More information is needed for high levels of feed intake, however, in order to clearly discriminate between the models proposed in table II and to determine the pattern of blood flow increase with high energy intakes.

**Muscle**

Muscle constitutes the largest protein compartment in the organism (Smith and Baldwin, 1974). Muscle blood flow has to be estimated because it is an intermediate parameter in the calculation of quantitative uptake and release of blood amino acids and peptides by this tissue (Danilson et al., 1987a, 1987b). However, data determining the factors influencing muscle blood flow remain scarce and are limited to growing ruminants ranging from 12–550 kg live weight. Moreover, the usual biological model of muscular mass is the hindlimb, which is a mixture of muscle (61.5%), bone (18.5%), skin (7.4%), connective tissues (9.9%) and fat (2.5%) as observed in steers by Boisclair et al. (1993b).

A set of 111 observations was collected from 23 publications concerning young ruminants (Brown et al., 1991; Crompton and Lomax, 1993; Oddy et al., 1987), sheep (Brid et al., 1981; Early et al., 1988; Heitmann et al., 1986; Oddy et al., 1981; Pethick and Verneau, 1984; Pethick et al., 1981, 1987; Symonds et al., 1988; Symonds and Lomax, 1990; Teleni and Annison, 1986; Teleni et al., 1986) and growing cattle (Bell et al., 1975; Boisclair et al., 1993a, 1994; Early et al., 1987; Eisemann et al., 1987, 1988; Eisemann and Nienaber, 1990; Eisemann and Huntington, 1994; Huntington and Prior, 1985). In each experiment, the information collected was the live weight (W, kg), dry matter intake (DMI, g/day), type of animal, method of blood flow measurement, the catheterized vessels and other specific experimental factors. Methodological features were used to estimate the proportion of muscle in the hindlimbs taken into account and corrections were made based on pertinent guesses. The blood flow finally obtained was for the two hindlimbs together. These guesses increased the amount of variation observed.

Two expressions of muscle blood flow were given, depending on the method used: an absolute blood flow (AMuBF, L/day), which was mainly determined by dye dilution or an ultrasonic flow probe, and a relative blood flow (RMuBF, L/day/kg hindlimb) obtained with the diffusion equilibrium technique (Oddy et al., 1981) (table III). It was difficult to link these two expressions because the quantity of muscle considered in the AMuBF needed to be known to calculate RMuBF. AMuBF increased with animal weight. A highly significant linear relationship linked the natural logarithm of the animal's metabolic weight and the natural logarithm of AMuBF:

\[
\ln(\text{AMuBF (L/day)}) = 1.94 (0.45) + 1.547 (0.122) \times \ln(\text{NW (kg}^{0.75}))
\]

\(n = 56, R^2 = 0.75, \text{RSD} = 0.71\)

Figure 2 shows the absolute hindlimb blood flow versus the metabolic weight according to the above equation. Logarithms were used to maintain equal residuals due to the large variations in AMuBF for animals of the same weight. A reason for these variations could be the different methods used for blood flow measurements and the catheter position (Teleni and Annison, 1986; Boisclair et al., 1993b). The catheter position determines which vessels will be taken into account and consequently the volume of hindlimb irrigated. Experimental factors can partially explain these variations, even though no statistical effect was significant due to the large between-experiment differences.

The quantity of feed and the protein content seemed to influence AMuBF, when the different means were compared graphically by treatment. Fasting or underfeeding reduced AMuBF by more than 50% (Bois-
Table III. Statistical summary of the data set on hindlimb blood flow.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable (unit)</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Standard deviation</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Live weight (kg)</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>125.2</td>
<td>140.8</td>
<td>12–550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry matter intake (kg/day)</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0–11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absolute blood flow (L/day)</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>3 998</td>
<td>3 961</td>
<td>202–16 128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative blood flow (L/day/kg tissue)</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>195.1</td>
<td>98.2</td>
<td>74.2–419</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Treatment with β-agonists strongly increased AMuBF (Eisemann et al, 1988; Brown et al, 1991) while bovine somatotropin had no effect (Boisclair et al, 1994). Supplies of insulin parenterally (AMuBF: Huntington and Prior, 1985) or locally (RMuBF: Oddy et al, 1987) increased the muscle blood flow. This could be related to a higher muscle anabolism, even if no response was noted with bovine somatotropin. A major influential factor on AMuBF (Bird et al, 1981) and RMuBF (Pethick et al, 1987) was the level of exercise, which could cause the blood flows to be multiplied by at least three. Moreover, Issery and Ortigues (1994) have
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demonstrated on six ewes that 77% of the outliers discarded from their final analyses were linked to animal movement.

More quantitative information is needed in order to be able to accurately predict muscle blood flow and exchange, and it must be emphasized that no data are available for either lactating ruminants or for the influence of different physiological states on blood flows. Some effects are known, such as hormones or exercise, but except for β-agonists they are not quantified (Eisemann et al., 1988; Brown et al., 1991), even if large differences have been observed in the hindlimb in connection with quantitative factors such as protein intake. To conclude, the large variations suggest that it would be interesting to tabulate the hindlimb blood flow according to the species and the physiological state, both in homeorhetic and homeostatic situations.

The hindlimb blood flow represents only part of the total whole body muscle blood flow. The muscle tissue represents 240 kg in a cow of 600 kg live weight (Riis, 1983). If the hindlimb fresh weight is 100 kg, its muscle weight is 60 kg. With the assumption of an equivalent irrigation of whole body muscle, the hindlimb blood flow represents 25% of the total muscle blood flow. Due to the lack of precision in this blood flow measurement, a constant blood flow should be taken for a given body weight according to the first equation in this section and should be multiplied by 4 to obtain the whole body muscle blood flow.

### Mammary gland

Mammary gland blood flows (MaBF, L/day) have been measured for many years. Kronfeld et al. (1968) proposed a linear regression between MaBF estimated by the antipyrine absorption method (Rasmussen, 1965) and milk production with a slope of 605 L blood to 1 L milk based on the results of one experiment. This regression was widely accepted and was even used to estimate the MaBF (Derrig et al., 1974; Munneke et al., 1991; Yang et al., 1986) although Reynolds et al. (1968) demonstrated that the method gave an overestimation of the actual blood flow. Linzell (1974) also showed a positive linear relationship between milk production and MaBF, with a mean ratio of 500 L blood to 1 L milk in goats. However, he underlined the fact that this value was an approximation and that it could change inversely with the milk production level.

A data set of 11 publications on cows (Annison et al., 1974; Bickerstaffe et al., 1974; Cant et al., 1993; Davis et al., 1988; Guinard et al., 1994; Hartmann and Kronfeld, 1973; Kensinger et al., 1983; Kronfeld et al., 1968; Lough et al., 1990; Metcalf et al., 1991; Peeters et al., 1979) was collected. This database contained 57 observations. The qualitative variables were the method of mammary blood flow measurement and the experimental factors, which were mainly tests on the uptake of nutrients by the mammary gland depending on the diet or infusion either in the duodenum or in the blood. The quantitative independent variables were body weight (W, kg), raw milk production (MPL, L/day), milk fat content (FC, g/L), milk protein content (PC, g/L), milk lactose content (LC, g/L), MaBF (L/day) and the stage of lactation (SL, week). A statistical summary of the data set is presented in Table IV.

MaBF increased with MPL. A good fit between MaBF and MLP was obtained. These relations were consistent with those proposed by Linzell (1974) for goats. A logical lower limit different from zero appeared for MaBF during the dry period. Moreover, an upper limit seemed to appear for high MPL (fig 3). Several models were adjusted to the data set between MaBF and MPL (Table V). Statistically the models were nearly equivalent, however their physiological bases were different. Linearity implied a constant increase of MaBF with MPL. This meant that high producing cows would have
very high MaBF, difficult to attain and energy consuming. A quadratic relationship provided the best coefficient of determination for cows producing in the range of the data set, from 0–29.5 L/day. Nevertheless, this model was rejected because it gave decreasing MaBF for cows producing more than 29 L/day. The three other models could not be statistically discriminated from each other. Nevertheless, they could be compared from a physiological point of view. The logarithmic model, LN, had the weakest coefficient of determination. However, it gave interesting MaBF estimates for the usual range of milk production and avoided the assumption of an upper limit for MaBF. The two exponential models EXP1 and EXP2 differed in their hypotheses concerning maximum MaBF. EXP1 was fitted by fixing the intercept at 1 500 L/day and EXP2
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**Fig 3.** Relationship between mammary blood flow and milk production level.

**Table IV.** Statistical summary of the data set on mammary blood flow.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable (unit)</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Standard deviation</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>350–650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raw milk production (L/day)</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>17.25</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>0–29.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milk fat content (g/L)</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>36.7</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>9.6–50.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milk protein content (g/L)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>32.1</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>27.2–43.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milk lactose content (g/L)</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>47.1</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>32.7–50.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mammary blood flow (L/day)</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>9.867</td>
<td>4.718</td>
<td>1 094–22 507</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage of lactation (week)</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>2–38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
was fitted by fixing the distance from the intercept to the maximum value at 23 500 Uday. EXP2 was calculated because EXP1 only allowed 14 710 Uday as a maximum blood flow, which seemed too low. Unfortunately, as shown by figure 3, no data on high-producing dairy cows was found. Some further MaBF measurements on cows producing 35-50 L of milk dairy would help to discriminate between these proposed empirical models.

As for the hepatic blood flow, the S-shaped model could be adjusted with MPL as an independent variable. MaBF was also closely related to milk protein and lactose production, however no improvement appeared when compared with the regression on MPL, due to the lack of precision in the measurement of the protein and lactose concentrations in our data set. These results need to be confirmed because milk protein, and especially milk lactose, concentrations are relatively constant and their synthesis is linked to a high nutrient transfer between the blood and milk. The milk fat production showed only a weak relationship with MaBF.

A few data (Cant et al, 1993) have been excluded from the statistical analysis because they were outside the limit of twice the standard deviation from the MaBF of the cows with an equivalent MPL. This exclusion revealed that the estimation of MaBF was very dependent on the method used. An analysis of covariance was performed with the following model on the other cow MaBF measurements:

$$\text{MaBF}_{ijk} = \alpha + \beta \times \text{MPL}_i + (\text{Method})_j + \epsilon_{ijk}$$

$$n = 53, R^2 = 0.83, \text{RSD} = 1 562$$

There was a significant ranking between the methods used. The highest estimate was with the antipyrine absorption method (reference value set to zero, n = 19), followed by the electromagnetic flow probe (−977 L/day, n = 16), continuous thermodilution technique (−2 973 L/day, n = 14) and PAH dilution technique (−4 276 L/day, n = 4). These results are in agreement with those of Reynolds et al (1968) for goats and Waghorn and Baldwin (1984) in a model of the lactating cow mammary gland for the overestimation of MaBF by the antipyrene absorption technique. Differences also appeared between the electromagnetic and continuous thermodilution techniques in our analysis.

There was a linear regression between MPL and the ratio MaBF/MPL (fig 4). The low producing cows (less than 1.5 L per day) were excluded from the statistical fitting.
**Fig 4.** Relationship between mammary blood flow per litre of milk and milk production level.
since $\text{MaBF/MPL}_{ij}$ tended to increase to infinity for this level of production:

$$\text{MaBF/MPL}_{ij} = 881.3 - 16.62 \times \text{MPL}_{i} + \epsilon_{ij}$$

$n = 48$, $R^2 = 0.53$, RSD = 107.3

The average ratio was 578 L of blood flow per litre of milk produced, but the range was large: from 300 for high producing cows to 1 000 for low producing cows. The same trend was found in goats by Linzell (1974). This decrease in the $\text{MaBF/MPL}$ was observed throughout lactation. $\text{MaBF/MPL}$ had an inverse shape compared to the usual production curve. These data do not a priori imply a lower flow of available nutrients for the synthesis of milk in high producing cows. It could be equally well be assumed that the nutrient uptake from the blood is more efficient or there are higher blood nutrient concentrations, or even that alternative sources of nutrients are available in the blood of high producing cows. However, this linear regression is only valid in the range 1.5–3.0 L/day. A more consistent curve could be derived from the division of EXP2 by MPL. This curve has been included in figure 5. When no milk is produced, the blood/milk ratio is calculated as infinity and there is a minimal mammary blood flow per litre of milk produced for high producing dairy cows (eg, 372 L per litre of milk produced for 50 L/day cow).

The blood flow through the mammary gland varies greatly. More mechanistic knowledge is needed on the regulation of this flow since it transports metabolites to this organ. Cant (1995) proposed the first mechanistic model of $\text{MaBF}$, which included the effects of blood pressure and integrated the number of open capillaries in the mammary gland. From this first approach, further developments are needed to integrate the hormonal influence known to affect $\text{MaBF}$ (Dhondt et al, 1973) and thus to predict the mammary blood flow.

**Comparison between different tissues**

The main purpose of this section is to compare blood flow values irrigating different tissues.
tissues. For this purpose, the above empirical relationships examining blood flow to each organ were used. A simple simulation was carried out for a 600 kg liveweight cow, producing 0–50 L of milk per day and eating
0.27 x (milk production level) + 0.01 x (BW – 600) + 11 kg dry matter (INRA, 1988). It must be recalled that the MaBF data available were from cows producing 0–30 L of milk and that none of the AMuBF data comes from lactating cows. Therefore, the simulations are rough predictions which need to be used cautiously, especially for the muscle blood flow. Portal blood flow was adjusted with the ME intake equation from table II, with ME expressed in MJ/day. Hepatic blood flow was also adjusted with the equivalent ME intake equation, with ME in MJ/day. The ME density was 11.5 MJ/kg dry matter intake. MaBF was simulated by the EXP2 equation from table V. AMuBF was taken to be related only to metabolic weight. The simulations (fig 5) showed large differences between organs with regards to daily blood flows, with the ranking being dependent on the milk production level. Except for the muscle, these flows are greatly increased with increasing milk production level. Data of cardiac output (Huntington et al, 1990) were compared with the liver output, the latter being assumed to represent one third of the cardiac output. The simulation of HBF was in agreement with this value.

This first section of this review discussed the variations in blood flows through the different key organs of protein metabolism and underlined the fact that a very large quantity of nutrients can be transported in the organism during lactation. This set of regressions, however, did not take into account possible physiological regulations, except for milk production level. Consequently, the distribution of blood in the whole body in homeorhetic situations, for example rapid growth or the beginning of lactation, could not be accurately predicted.

AMINO ACID FLOWS

Amino acid absorption through the portal-drained viscera

Ruminal amino acid metabolism has been extensively studied and, even if precise quantitative data are lacking, two feed unit systems for dairy cows (O'Connor et al, 1993; Rulquin et al, 1993) and a mechanistic model (Lescoat and Sauvant, 1995) can now predict the net amino acid supply to the duodenum. Methods were developed to assess the apparent absorption of proteins and amino acids in the small intestine with the mobile nylon bag technique (eg, Skórko-Sajko et al, 1994) and the results obtained seem to be relevant to the actual absorption (T Hvelplund, personal communication). In addition, the net amino acid appearance in the portal blood has been quantified via arterio-venous difference methods (eg, Huntington and Prior, 1985). These experiments, however, did not take into account amino acid metabolism in the portal drained viscera due to the use of non-labelled amino acids. Tagari and Bergman (1978) underlined large differences between the quantities apparently absorbed in the small intestine and those released in the portal blood. More precisely, amino acids such as alanine (Ala) could be synthesized in enterocytes by transamination of other amino acids or from other precursors. In the human intestinal wall, arginine (Arg) is metabolized with the appearance of urea, ornithine (Orn) and citrulline (Cit) (Jungas et al, 1992). The amino acid profile in portal blood is the result of the absorption profile, the arterial supply and the subsequent metabolism. Relatively little data have been published on the arterio-venous fractional release of amino acids in the portal-drained viscera.

A set of 27 observations of amino acids analysed in plasma were collected from ten
Table VI. Plasma amino acid concentration and release or uptake by different organs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amino acid</th>
<th>Portal drained viscera</th>
<th>Liver</th>
<th>Muscle</th>
<th>Mammary gland</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Artery b</td>
<td>Extraction a</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Portal vein b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Met</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>-22.9</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>9.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lys</td>
<td>137.8</td>
<td>-16.1</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>109.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phe</td>
<td>64.3</td>
<td>-20.0</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>59.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thr</td>
<td>123.6</td>
<td>-12.8</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>136.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>His</td>
<td>90.7</td>
<td>-8.3</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>88.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leu</td>
<td>196.6</td>
<td>-14.3</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>169.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ile</td>
<td>114.4</td>
<td>-13.0</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>108.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Val</td>
<td>281.2</td>
<td>-8.0</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>262.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arg</td>
<td>118.5</td>
<td>-11.2</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>131.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tyr</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>-17.7</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>62.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glu</td>
<td>126.1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>105.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gln</td>
<td>257.6</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>166.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asp</td>
<td>27.9</td>
<td>-17.3</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asn</td>
<td>29.9</td>
<td>-40.4</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>32.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ala</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>-28.2</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>177.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pro</td>
<td>109.9</td>
<td>-7.1</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>86.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ser</td>
<td>93.2</td>
<td>-24.5</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>71.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gly</td>
<td>357.2</td>
<td>-8.5</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>334.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orn</td>
<td>103.3</td>
<td>-5.2</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>119.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cit</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>-6.0</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>104.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a Extraction = 100 x (input – output concentrations) divided by input concentrations: > 0: uptake, < 0: release. b Mean of the input vessel plasma amino acid concentration from the data set for each tissue; SD: standard deviation.
publications (Hume et al, 1972; Huntington and Prior, 1985; Prewitt et al, 1975; Prior et al, 1981; Reynolds et al, 1992, 1994b; Seal et al, 1992; Sniffen and Jacobson, 1975; Tagari and Bergman, 1978; Wolff et al, 1972). These data included the amino acids generally considered as essential (eg, O'Connor et al, 1993): methionine (Met), lysine (Lys), phenylalanine (Phe), threonine (Thr), histidine (His), leucine (Leu), isoleucine (Ile), valine (Val) and Arg, as well as tyrosine (Tyr), Glutamate (Glu), Glutamine (Gin), aspartic acid (Asp), asparagine (Asn), Alanine (Ala), proline (Pro), serine (Ser), glycine (Gly), Orn and Cit, which are non-essential amino acids. Some data on amino acid analysis of whole blood were also available. Most of these latter values only concerned the following three gluconeogenic amino acids: Ala, Glu and Gin. Large variations in amino acid release, defined as portal vein minus arterial concentrations divided by arterial concentrations (%) were observed. A statistical summary is presented in table VI.

These variations could be partly explained by analytical methods, especially the deproteinization of plasma or whole blood as observed by Ohara and Ariyoshi (1979) or Bernard and Rémond (1995) and described by Reynolds et al (1994a). The position of the catheter in the vein could also influence the arterio–venous differences, due to the varying number of gastro-intestinal organs included. Neutze et al (1994) found that the net blood appearances of Phe and Tyr were several times higher if the catheter was placed near a cranial mesenteric vein, which represents the small intestine irrigation, compared with the usual portal vein preparation, which drains the whole portal-drained viscera. This observed difference in net appearance could be explained by a dilution effect. Glu and Gin are taken up in higher amounts than they are released by the portal drained viscera. They are used as energy sources by the gut (Britton and Krehbiel, 1993). These variations did not appear as a significant statistical effect since the standard deviations were too high. Nevertheless, if data from animals fed ad libitum are compared to the net amino acid release in animals fed at maintenance, a trend appears revealing a

Fig 6. Amino acid release by portal drained viscera as influenced by nutrition level.
higher release in the portal blood of the ad libitum fed animals (fig 6).

A set of 18 publications (Burrin et al, 1991; Casse et al, 1994; Gross et al, 1988; Guerino et al, 1991; Harmon et al, 1988; Huntington, 1984, 1987; Huntington and Prior, 1985; Huntington et al, 1983; Krehbiel et al, 1992; Lomax and Baird, 1983; Reynolds and Huntington, 1988; Reynolds and Tyrrell, 1991; Reynolds et al, 1988, 1991, 1992a, 1994b; Seal et al, 1992), including 71 observations, were collected to analyse the relationships between some general indicators of amino acid metabolism and level of intake and also between the portal-drained viscera and the liver. The information collected included the animal weight (W, kg), dry matter intake (DMI, kg/day), portal blood flow (PBF, L/day), portal-drained viscera and liver net release of ammonia (NH₃-N, mmol/day), urea-N (Urea-N, mmol/day), and amino acid-N (AA-N, mmol/day), dry matter intake divided by metabolic weight (DM, g/day kg⁰.⁷⁵) and AA-N appearance in the portal vein also divided by the metabolic weight (AA-NM mmol/day/kg⁰.⁷⁵). A statistical summary is presented table VII. There was a strong positive linear regression between dry matter intake and AA-N appearance on a metabolic weight basis (fig 7):

$$AA-NM \text{ (mmol/day/kg}^{0.75}) = -14.0(5.5) + 0.769(0.065) \times DM \text{ (g/day/kg}^{0.75})$$

$$n = 51, R^2 = 0.74, \text{RSD} = 13.55$$

For animals fed less than 18.2 g/day/kg⁰.⁷⁵, the uptake by the portal-drained viscera was higher than the release in portal blood. This regression supported the trend for a higher release of most amino acids with an increase in feed intake (fig 6) and was in agreement with the results of Huntington et al (1988). This first step helped to obtain an overall view of the amino acid metabolism but it did not explain the variations in individual amino acids.

Data on individual amino acids were only obtained from plasma analyses. Consequently, the release as peptides or amino acids attached to red blood cells was not
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**Fig 7.** Relationship between portal drained visceral as release of amino acid-N and dry matter intake per kg metabolic live weight.
Amino acid metabolization by the liver

Liver metabolism is highly active. It accounts for 25% of the whole body O$_2$-uptake while the liver itself represents only 1.5% of total body mass in lactating cows (Huntington, 1990). This high energy activity is linked to a high rate of amino acid metabolism. The amino acids taken up by the liver are used in different metabolic pathways such as liver protein synthesis, gluconeogenesis and ketogenesis, synthesis of blood proteins, and amino acid transamination and oxidation. Consequently, the net amino acid release is unable to elucidate the liver amino acid utilization and few published values are available using labelled nutrients in vivo. This approach would, however, help to determine the fate of amino acids in the liver. For example, amino acid use for glucogenogenesis by the liver is highly variable depending on the availability of glucogenic nutrients and maybe also on the hormonal concentrations (Danfaer et al, 1995). Nevertheless, the few data available on net amino acid release by the liver were used to study an ‘apparent’ amino acid liver metabolism.

A set of 15 observations were collected from four publications with the amino acid levels analysed in plasma (Brockman et al, 1975; Reynolds et al, 1992, 1994b; Wolff et al, 1972). The extraction ratios were corrected to take into account the contribution from the portal vein and the hepatic artery.

Table VII. Statistical summary of the data set on portal drained viscera and liver amino acid metabolism.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable (unit)</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Standard deviation</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>376.9</td>
<td>114.4</td>
<td>33–651</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry matter intake (kg/day)</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>6.64</td>
<td>4.78</td>
<td>0–21.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portal blood flow (L/day)</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>18 144</td>
<td>9 334</td>
<td>2 088–51 384</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDV ammonia release (mmol/day)</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>4 847</td>
<td>3 385</td>
<td>504–13 872</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDV urea-N uptake (mmol/day)</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>3 296</td>
<td>2 665</td>
<td>12 384–320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDV Aa-N release (mmol/day)</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>3 505</td>
<td>2 999</td>
<td>–1 958–13 008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver ammonia uptake (mmol/day)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>4 717</td>
<td>3 299</td>
<td>14 184–552</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver urea-N release (mmol/day)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>8 702</td>
<td>6 468</td>
<td>1 512–24 192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver Aa-N uptake (mmol/day)</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1 772</td>
<td>1 218</td>
<td>5 232–144</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A statistical summary of the values is presented in table VIII.

The feed intake level did not seem to increase the hepatic uptake of essential amino acids (fig 8). There was nearly no uptake or release of branched-chain amino acids irrespective of the level of intake. This is in agreement with Harper et al (1984) who reported the liver's low capacity to take up and degrade branched-chain amino acids. For non-essential amino acids (fig 8), apparent differences existed between nutritional levels, but the extent of increase or decrease differed between the individual amino acids. Gluconeogenic amino acids were extensively taken up and stored by the liver, except Glu, which was also used for transamination of other amino acids. There was a trend towards an increase in Orn release in animals fed at maintenance. This could be related to the urea cycle. However, too few data were available (nine for each amino acid except for His (3)) and their variations were too large to obtain any significant statistical effect.

As a preliminary conclusion, it can be stated that the liver had a major role in the amino acid removal rate. Moreover, it influenced the blood amino acid profile and consequently amino acid availability for milk or muscle protein production.

The portal-drained visceral tissues and the liver are two highly active compartments with regards to amino acid metabolism and anatomically they are in a series. They should therefore be studied together. They alter the quantity and the composition of the available amino acid pool between what escapes the rumen and what is delivered into the hepatic vein for growth and maintenance of tissues and for milk protein synthesis. Amino acid release by portal-drained viscera or removal by the liver, expressed in mmol of amino acid-N per day, is usually used to monitor amino acid metabolism in the splanchnic area.

From the data set summarized in table VII, a linear regression was found between the AA-N release in the portal blood and the net use by the liver on a metabolic weight basis (fig 9a).
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**Fig 8.** Hepatic uptake of individual amino acids as affected by level of nutrition.
AA-N(liver, mmol/day/kg^{0.75}) =
-13.1 (4.25) + 1.065 (0.117)
\times AA-N(PDV, mmol/day/kg^{0.75})
\quad n = 24, R^2 = 0.79, RSD = 7.76

From this equation and the data set, an average of 65% of the amino acids released in the portal blood were apparently used by the liver. This seems high in relation to the amino acid requirements for production and maintenance. The regression is only valid for a daily portal-drained visceral AA-N release lower than 5500 mM/day (about 68 mmol/day/kg^{0.75}). AA-N is only an over-

**Fig 9. A.** Relationship between AA-N release by portal drained viscera and AA-N uptake by the liver. **B.** Relationship between NH₃-N uptake and urea-N release by the liver.
all view of whole amino acid metabolism. Since the different amino acids are not taken up at the same rate by the liver (fig 8), AA-N may not represent the quantitative variations and availability of individual amino acids. A study of each amino acid appears necessary to understand the actual availability of these nutrients for systemic utilization.

To support this point, an example of the utilization of amino acids in the liver was given indirectly by the following regression between urea-N release by the liver and its NH$_3$-N uptake (fig 9b):

\[
\text{NH}_3\text{-N (liver, mmol/day)} = 26(268) + 0.614(0.029) \times \text{Urea-N (liver, mmol/day)}
\]

\[n = 28, \ R^2 = 0.94, \ RSD = 790\]

This regression revealed that 39% of the nitrogen used in ureogenesis came from sources other than NH$_3$-N, notably deamination of amino acids. This was consistent with the results of Lobley et al. (1995), who demonstrated that NH$_3$ extracted by the ovine liver could provide 61-70% of the N found in urea. In conclusion, further studies should be undertaken with the aim of establishing the fate of individual amino acids in the liver.

Amino acid utilization muscles

Muscle tissues modify the amino acid profile (Teleni, 1993). It therefore appears necessary to study the net amino acid release by muscle tissues in order to predict the quantity available for other organs. A set of 49 observations were collected from 12 publications with data concerning amino acids analysed in plasma (Ahmed et al., 1983; Bell et al., 1975; Brown et al., 1991; Huntington and Prior, 1985), in whole blood (Ballard et al., 1976; Boisclair et al., 1993a, 1994; Early et al., 1987; Teleni et al., 1986), in erythrocytes and in plasma peptides (Danilson et al., 1987a,b; McCormick and Webb, 1982). The information collected was the animal species, experimental factor tested, arterial amino acid concentrations (\(\mu\)M), uptake of amino acids by muscle (arterial minus venous divided by arterial concentration, %). A statistical summary of the amino acid release analysed in plasma is presented in table VI.
Most of the observations in this section were from growing steers. Hence, these data cannot be regarded as a good representation of the amino acid metabolism in the muscle tissues of lactating ruminants, especially in early lactation when the mobilization of amino acids from the muscles can be substantial (Andrew et al., 1994). Another problem is that about half of the data were obtained on whole blood, as the amino acid release based on whole blood appears to differ from the release based on plasma for most amino acids (fig 10). The same pattern was observed for the amino acid uptakes, but the uptake values were multiplied by a common 'scaling-up factor' between plasma and whole blood. The choice between one or the other of these data sets is difficult. The high plasma values conflict with the small gain or loss in the muscle protein compartment that can be registered on a daily basis. To balance these high uptakes, other amino acid carriers have to be considered in the muscles viz amino acids attached to the erythrocytes and the blood peptides. These two amino acid compartments were quantitatively compared with plasma free amino acid uptake. Amino acids were released into the free amino acid compartment in the erythrocytes and were taken from the plasma peptide compartment for most of the essential amino acids. This conflicts with the assumption of a balance between amino acid uptake and release for the latter compartment. For non-essential amino acids the conclusions are unclear (Danilson et al., 1987a, 1987b). More investigations are needed to evaluate the quantitative importance of amino acids in relationship to the erythrocytes and blood peptide amino acids and also to clarify the choice between whole blood or plasma analysis for uptake and release studies of individual amino acids by muscle (Armentano, 1994). An additional problem may arise from the variations in the packed cell volume between tissues and between experiments.

The observed standard deviations were high for the analysis of amino acid release into plasma. There was a net uptake of all the amino acids except for Asn, Gln and especially Ala, which were released into venous blood. This net Gln production served as a vehicle for removing excess \( \text{NH}_4^+ \) from the muscles and as a gluconeogenic precursor, while Ala flowed to the liver as a part of the Ala-glucose substrate cycle previously demonstrated in man (Christensen, 1990). Several factors influenced the ratio of amino acid uptake. For essential amino acids, this uptake ratio increased with level of nutrition, with the dietary protein content and with injection of exogenous insulin. These results, observed by Ahmed et al. (1983), were confirmed by other experiments (Boisclair et al., 1993a). Explanations of the decreased uptake of amino acids by the muscles with underfeeding or fasting could be due to changes in the oxidation levels of some amino acids, their transformation into ketoacids or a decrease in net retention. The same trend was observed with non-essential amino acids. Muscle, like the liver, appeared to partially participate as a 'short term buffer' for the amino acid supply and thus helped to maintain homeostasis in the organism, even though the amino acid metabolism was significant in this tissue. This implied that the pattern of amino acid release differed largely from that of uptake, as observed in rats (Chang and Goldberg, 1978). All these modifications might be connected to energy metabolism in the ruminant with a net production of gluconeogenic amino acids via the utilization of others.

To understand the net release of amino acids by muscle, the metabolic pathways between different nutrients have to be taken into account simultaneously. Their regulation also has to be considered. Unfortunately, as for muscle blood flow, little is quantitatively known about the in vivo regulation of these biochemical pathways. As for the study of splanchnic bed amino
acid metabolism (Biolo et al, 1992), multiple infusions of labelled nutrients, including sources of energy, could be performed to understand more about some of the interactions between amino acids and other nutrients.

**Amino acid uptake by the mammary gland**

Blood amino acid extraction by the mammary gland has been extensively studied in lactating cows. According to Fick's principle, the extraction ratio ((artery–venous)/artery concentrations) is one of the three components necessary to determine the absolute quantity of amino acids taken up by the mammary gland. The other two components are the mammary blood flow and the amino acid concentration in the artery. Consequently, increased amino acid concentrations or blood flow would increase the mammary uptake if the extraction ratio were constant or if it varied independently of the two first factors. This is called the 'push' hypothesis, which postulates that the amino acid availability for milk protein synthesis is regulated by blood irrigation and amino acid concentration. If, on the other hand, the extraction ratio decreased with an increase in blood flow or amino acid concentration, then the quantities taken up would be determined by mammary gland metabolism; this is the 'pull' hypothesis, supported by the review of Knight et al (1994). Nevertheless, the regulation of the amino acid uptake ratio remains unclear (Hanigan et al, 1992). Usually, the analysis of amino acids in the plasma is used to monitor the mammary gland amino acid uptake even though it does not seem sufficiently accurate (Guinard and Rulquin, 1994). Red blood cells (Hanigan et al, 1991) and peptides (Backwell, 1994; Bequette et al, 1994) also deliver amino acids to the mammary gland for milk protein synthesis and mammary gland tissue maintenance and growth.

The influence of the supplied amino acid profile and the hormonal state have been studied by several authors. A set of 86 observations from 26 publications on plasma free amino acid extractions in lactating cows were collected (Ahrar and Schingoethe, 1979; Austin et al, 1991; Bickerstaffe et al, 1974; Block et al, 1981; Cant et al, 1993; Casper and Schingoethe, 1988; Casper et al, 1987, 1990; Clark et al, 1974; Clark, 1975; Derrig et al, 1974; Drackley and Schingoethe, 1986; Erickson et al, 1992; Guinard and Rulquin, 1994; Hadsell and Sommerfeldt, 1988; Huber et al, 1984; Illg et al, 1987; Kellaway et al, 1974; King et al, 1990; Klusmeyer et al, 1987; Laarveld et al, 1981; Lanham et al, 1992; Munneke et al, 1991; Peeters et al, 1979; Seymour et al, 1990; Yang et al, 1986). The information collected was the raw milk production level (MPL, L/day), milk protein content (PC, g/L), number of weeks post partum (SL, week), extraction ratio (%), and the amino acid concentration in the mammary artery. Table VIII is

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable (unit)</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Standard deviation</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Milk production (L/day)</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>11.5–39.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein content (g/L)</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>31.6</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>25.5–38.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weeks post-partum (week)</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>7–37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
a statistical summary of the data set, except for the extraction ratio and artery concentrations which are given in table VI. Most of the amino acid extraction ratios varied greatly and the absolute values were high for the essential amino acids, which might indicate a critical requirement for these amino acids in the mammary gland.

Factors explaining the variations were not obvious and a principal component analysis (Saporta, 1990) was performed to obtain a global but simplified picture of the links between the different parameters in a 'restricted space'. The first three axes explained 56% of the variance, which was fairly satisfying when the data dispersion is considered. A plot of the first and second axes (fig 11a) showed positive correlations between most of the amino acid extraction ratios (except for Arg) and milk or protein production, and negative correlations with milk protein content on the second axis. However, the extraction ratio and MPL or protein production were negatively linked on the first axis except for Met and Ala. Consequently, extraction ratios and milk or protein production were nearly independent except for Met, Arg and Ala. The amino acid concentrations were poorly correlated with the amino acid extraction ratios on the first axis and negatively on the second, except for Lys, His and Thr. These observations were confirmed by a plot of the second and third axes except for Phe which gave results close to MPL and protein production (fig 11b). The concentrations and extraction ratios were negatively correlated on the third axis. Consequently, no significant regression was found between the extraction and the concentration of the amino acid, which agreed with the results of Cant (1995). From the principal component analysis observation, linear relationships were underlined between amino acid extraction and MPL, PC and milk protein production (PP), even if the $R^2$ values were low (table IX). MPL and PC changed throughout lactation and quadratic relations were therefore found between Phe extraction ratios and the number of weeks post partum SL.

Met and Phe extraction ratios were the only extraction ratios linearly and significantly increased by MPL. A quadratic model for Met increased the $R^2$, but this was mainly obtained when two low milk production levels were used, where the extraction values were much higher than expected. The exclusion of these two 'influencing points' (Tomassone et al, 1992) demonstrated that a linear model was as relevant as a quadratic one. Another model has been proposed with an upper limit for the extraction ratio for high producing dairy cows. However, this approach did not improve the adjustment in the range of values included in the data sets and observations of amino acid extraction rates based on whole blood were excluded, which was not the case previously (Lescoat and Sauvant, 1994). Nevertheless, models with an extraction dependent on the level of milk production and with lower and upper limits might be more physiological.

Table IX. Regressions between the amino acid extraction ratio and the production variables.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amino acid</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Model a</th>
<th>RSD</th>
<th>$R^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Met</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>$29.6 \pm 9.93 (0.224) \times MPL$</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Met</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>$82.3 (20.9) - 25 (1.63) \times MPL - 0.08 (0.03) \times MPL^2$</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Met</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>$-58.9 (64) + 6.65 (2.3) \times MPL + 3.27 (2) \times PC - 0.201 (0.07) \times PP$</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phe</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>$32.8 (4.3) + 0.43 (0.156) \times MPL$</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phe</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>$64.5 (4.5) - 2.03 (0.54) \times SL + 0.038 (0.012) \times SL^2$</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arg</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>$66.8 (8.2) - 0.033 (0.01) \times PP$</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a MPL: milk production level (L/day), PC: milk protein content (g/L), PP: protein production (g/day), SL: stage of lactation (weeks). The standard errors of the parameters are given in brackets.
even if our data did not allow discrimination in favour of such a model. A similar upper limit for the amino acid extraction ratio has been observed in goats with artificial hyper-aminoacidemia, which induced a decrease in these ratios (Tesseraud et al, 1992). It could be assumed that a saturation of the transport process was reached or that mammary metabolism was driving amino acid extraction according to the 'pull' hypothesis.

The other significant factor was SL. The available data were generally obtained around peak lactation (8–12 weeks post partum) with none before and only a few at the end of lactation. Therefore, the regression slopes were influenced by a low number of end-lactation data. In fact, amino acid uptake seemed to reach a peak around eight weeks post partum and decreased slowly in the following months. These curves support the hypothesis of maximum efficiency for amino acid extraction in the case of high milk production levels. The Arg extraction ratio decreased linearly with daily milk protein production. The quantity extracted was much higher than the quantity exported as milk protein. It has been demonstrated that Arg is extensively metabolized in mammary cells which provide non-essential amino acids for milk protein synthesis (Clark et al, 1975). This decrease in the extraction ratio could be a limiting factor for milk protein production even if the mammary blood flow was simultaneously increased. Mammary artery concentrations of Arg are positively correlated with the level of milk production (figs 11a,b).

Extraction ratios could also be seen as the slope of regression between amino acid arterial concentration and arterio-venous differences. If a linear model is accepted and the intercept is around zero, then the extraction ratio could be considered as constant. A good example of this was seen with Lys, with a slope of 53.7% and an intercept which was quasi nul. A more problematic amino acid was Met, with a high intercept and a low slope (39.3%). A bias came from the fact that in this data set, high arterial concentrations were linked with low milk production. As a consequence, arterio–venous differences were lower than expected for high concentrations of Met and higher for low concentrations. The results for these two amino acids illustrate the wide differences in behaviour of nutrients in the mammary gland.

Correlations between essential amino acid extraction ratios appeared in the first plan of the principal component analysis (fig 11a). This was less obvious in the second plan (fig 11b). Most of the ratios were positively correlated. Close relationships were obtained between the branched-chain amino acids. This illustrated the assumption of modulated specific transport systems under the influence of physiological state. Phe and Arg were negatively linked. This negative correlation was also observed between Thr and Ile. Amino acid transporters in the mammary cells are the same for several amino acids. Therefore, competitive inhibition or enhancement could explain some of the observed relationships between the amino acid extraction ratios (Baumrucker, 1985; Knight et al, 1994).

According to Cant et al (1993), sources other than plasma free essential amino acids are necessary to produce all the protein found in milk. Pocius et al (1981) demonstrated that a tripeptide, glutathione, was extracted from mammary blood. Bequette et al (1994) proposed that peptide uptake could fill the gap in essential amino acids for milk protein synthesis by lactating goats. They also proposed another hypothesis: that in the mammary cell, there may be protein storage which is progressively incorporated into milk protein. However, storage would only facilitate the uniformity of amino acid availability and would not fill a gap in supply. In conclusion, amino acids were taken up by the mammary gland to varying extents. For each amino acid, large varia-
tions in uptake were observed and these remain poorly explained.

Comparison between the amino acid fluxes in the different tissues

Plasma free amino acid profiles and quantities were largely modified by their flows through the different protein compartments. Extraction or release ratios varied greatly. Some qualitative factors have been identified, namely that the level of intake increased the release by portal-drained viscera and the uptake by muscles of growing animals. Experimental design and analytical procedures should also be considered as qualitative influencing factors: deproteinizing agents and sample storage or analytical procedures added to the uncertainty in the amino acid concentrations and consequently their extractions. Milk production influenced some amino acid extraction ratios. However, none of these variations were strongly related to quantitative factors. Assumptions about the homeorhetic regulation of amino acid partition can be used to explain high extraction levels in the mammary gland during lactation and in the hindlimb of growing animals. This explanation is, however, totally theoretical and the modelling of a regulating sub-system relating amino acid utilization with homeorhetic and homeostatic control is difficult to calibrate (Sauvant, 1994).

This section was based only on results from plasma amino acid analysis. It must be kept in mind, however, that blood peptides and red blood cell amino acids are also to some extent available for tissue and organ amino acid requirements (Backwell, 1994). It would be interesting to reconsider the amino acid transport between organs and tissues taking into account these two compartments. This could contribute to a further elucidation.

Fig 12. Diagram for a simulation model of amino acid fluxes in the organism.
of the rules governing the amino acid partitioning between different tissues.

SIMULATIONS

Each of the above sections was a quantitative approach examining one factor related to amino acid metabolism: first of all, blood flows, which carry the amino acids between the organs and inside tissues, and secondly, net amino acid release or uptake by different compartments. A one-factor study was performed in each section. However, a summary of whole body amino acid fluxes with an integrated approach was required. Consequently, a static and empirical model of amino acid fluxes in the whole body of large ruminants was developed. Its aim was to calculate the adequacy of the amino acid supply in relation to the amino acid requirements from the equations obtained in the two previous sections.

Five amino acid blood compartments were considered: the mammary gland (1), portal drained viscera (2), liver (3), muscle (4) and the whole circulating blood (5). The blood was distributed between the compartments according to the blood flow equations from the first section. By multiplying these fluxes by the amino acid concentrations in the arterial and portal blood and their uptakes or releases reported in table VI, the balance between supply and demand could be calculated. By using 24 h blood flow, the results were obtained on a daily basis. A diagram of the proposed model is presented in figure 12.

Two different animals were simulated: a lactating cow and a growing animal. The cow weighed 600 kg and produced 0-50 L of milk per day with a zero N balance in the muscle tissues. This simplification was necessary since no specific data on muscle amino acid extraction are available and we preferred to avoid speculative values for this flux. The results were expressed as a comparison between supplies and requirements and are presented in table X. These simulations helped to qualitatively rank the essential amino acids, bearing in mind all the drawbacks and limitations of this approach. They demonstrated that if only the free amino acids are taken into account, all the essential amino acids became limiting for milk protein production. There seemed to be two lines of explanation for these results.

Table X. Simulations of amino acid fluxes in the lactating cow.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amino acid</th>
<th>Milk production level (L/day)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Met</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lys</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phe</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leu</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ile</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Val</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thr</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>His</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arg</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

+: the supply is higher than the requirements, -: the supply is lower than the requirements.
First of all, these simulations did not take into account the parameter variations related to factors like the physiological stage of the animal, or the correlations between parameters. Thus, a regulating subsystem should be included to drive the parameter values of this simple model. This could not be done in the present effort as no significant factors were found that influenced the model parameters. Secondly, the shortage of amino acids illustrated the possibility of the existence of other sources of amino acids such as small peptides, amino acids bound to erythrocytes and small proteins exported from the liver.

The second group of simulations represented the amino acid fluxes in growing cattle. Only amino acid exchanges between the muscle and the whole blood compartment were simulated. This implied the assumption that the amino acid supply from the diet was not limiting, which was not the case when the portal-drained viscera release of amino acids available to muscle tissues were transported as free amino acids either i) in plasma or ii) in whole blood. Consequently, the plasma or whole blood flows and amino acid extraction ratios were taken into account. The quantity of each amino acid extracted was compared with the amino acid requirements for a daily gain calculated according to the balance between the total N supply and the N requirement. With the plasma values, the daily allowed gain was 960 g for a 310 kg animal. A larger quantity of essential amino acids was taken up compared to their requirements because of the necessity of balancing the N released mainly by Gln and Ala. Consequently, no amino acid became limiting. For the blood values, the daily gain was estimated to be 460 g per day for a 240 kg animal. Nevertheless, the requirements of Phe, Thr, Arg and Tyr were only attained if the daily gain decreased to 150 g per day. Consequently, some essential amino acids were determined to be limiting for growth: Phe, Thr and Arg.

From these simulations on lactating or growing cattle, the amounts of essential amino acids appeared to be limiting. However this approach was only an approximation, due to the lack of precision of most of the parameters, and the results can only be regarded as qualitative.

**DISCUSSION**

From this work, it can be noted that the ‘Fick principle’ approach, namely the combination of blood flows, amino acid concentrations and net extractions, was of limited value in determining the fate of amino acids in ruminant metabolism. However, each of these steps provided interesting results. Blood flows could be estimated between the different compartments with high residual variations. The net appearance and release of amino acids indicated high fluxes of these metabolites between organs. The integration of the two factors described above highlighted the dynamic behaviour of the amino acid fluxes in intermediary metabolism. From this work, it appeared that these exchanges could also be effectively regulated by a subsystem acting between the organs and tissues to control the partition and fates of the amino acids. The blood flows gave an indication of this partition but the actual homeorhetic agents, if they are active, were not identified and neither were their interactions. They could be important in each protein compartment.

**portal-drained viscera**

The amino acid fluxes in the portal-drained viscera were adequately described. The PAH dilution method seems a suitable technique for determining portal blood flow. A weak point in this method is, however, that it is an invasive technique requiring several permanent catheters. Less-invasive techniques, such as ultrasonic flow probes,
seem to become unreliable with time (NB Kristensen et al, unpublished results). Another weak point was that no relationships could be proposed concerning portal blood flow for high producing dairy animals due to a lack of data. A linear increase with the level of dry matter intake or the metabolizable energy intake seemed relevant up to a certain level of blood flow. Above this level, the required flows would need a high heart rate, or an increase in vessel pressure or dilatation, and these changes would be energy consuming. The blood flows were closely correlated with dry matter intake and metabolizable energy intake. This was the only 'regulation' to be determined. Concerning the net appearance of amino acids in the portal vein, few results were available and no significant quantitative effect was observed. It must be kept in mind that net appearance represented a balance between amino acids arriving via arterial irrigation, their release or removal by tissues and their apparent absorption in the intestine. Moreover, the use of amino acids absorbed from the small intestine by portal-drained viscera was not known, and the significance of arterial amino acid supply has just begun to be investigated (MacRae et al, 1995).

In conclusion, the different metabolic phenomena were integrated into one net appearance value, which could not be separated into each contributing process. Consequently, the importance of diet or physiological state, which influences the processes related to amino acid metabolism, could not be quantitatively estimated due to the large number of effects and the small amount of data available. The whole amino acid fluxes in portal-drained viscera could be estimated depending on the level of intake, but the origin of the amino acids could not be determined precisely. Assumptions could be made to separate the effects of each process. However, the interconversions between different amino acids would then need to be measured, and this implies the simultaneous use of several labelled amino acids. Nevertheless, one advantage of net flux studies across the portal-drained viscera was that net flux takes into account at least part of the endogenous secretion of protein, eg, pancreatic secretions; the net flux was therefore adjusted to incorporate substantial effects of endogenous secretions. For the portal-drained viscera, a net quantitative approach was undertaken and some system regulation was qualitatively underlined.

Liver

In most of the published papers, liver amino acid metabolism was considered to be a passive modulator acting in series with the portal-drained viscera. The liver extracted amino acids depending on the blood flow rate and on their concentrations in the blood. There was a constant uptake ratio, which was different for each amino acid or group of amino acids. This approach considered that the liver was supplied by blood in a 'push' hypothesis. However, this assumption linked with the experimental approach seemed partly irrelevant for the liver, which is a highly active organ. Amino acid metabolization occurs on a large scale in the liver through oxidation, gluconeogenesis, the urea cycle, protein turnover and synthesis of circulating blood proteins for the whole body. This was observed particularly clearly in our work through the high quantities of amino acids taken up for ureogenesis, but it was difficult to determine which amino acids were used for this purpose, either directly as Arg or Orn or indirectly via transamination.

An alternative method, replacing the 'black box' approach for studying amino acid metabolism, seemed technically difficult however, since the different nutrients are profoundly interrelated in the liver (Danfaer, 1994). A preliminary attempt was made by Freetly et al (1993) to develop a mechanis-
tic model of liver metabolism in which they underlined the studies required to understand the partition of nutrients in the liver. Blood flows were determined with the same precision as for the portal-drained viscera and had the same drawbacks concerning high producing dairy cows. This study was limited by the small number of observations and their accuracy relating to problems associated with amino acid analysis. Consequently, the net hepatic uptake of amino acids could not be determined in all situations. Large variations were observed, but they could not be related to hormonal regulation or nutrient availability. Thus, to be of value, experiments studying the liver should either investigate the metabolism, not only of the amino acids, but also of all the energy releasing nutrients and hormones, or they should trace the fate of key liver metabolites such as urea or NH₃, by the use of labelled metabolites as it has been done by Lobley et al (1995). Liver metabolism underlines the fact that protein and energy metabolism cannot be considered separately and that the complexity of regulation must be studied and clarified in order to explain the fate of amino acids in the liver (Danfær, 1994).

Muscle tissues

To represent amino acid metabolism in muscle tissues, the model used was the hindlimb preparation. Several drawbacks are related to this preparation. The first one is that the hindlimb consists of a mixture of various tissues each having a different protein metabolism. Technically, this method is highly dependent on the position of the catheters. Consequently, the blood flow and amino acid extractions are variable depending on the experimental setup. These differences raised the question of the validity of pooling data from different publications when not all the technical biases are taken into account. This seemed to be particularly the case for the blood flow determinations which varied with the protein content of the diet and with the level of exercise of the animal. However, when the data were put together only a body weight effect was found to be significant.

The approach of Oddy and coworkers (1981) to calculate a relative blood flow (by 100 g of wet muscle tissue) seems interesting. A further step was to calculate the size of the muscle compartment and to obtain the absolute amino acid fluxes to and from this compartment. Net amino acid extraction ratios represent the balance between the uptake for protein gain and amino acid metabolism and the release after the degradation processes, ie, the N transport to the liver with Ala, Gin, Asn as carriers and some transaminations. No real effect could therefore be quantified. Labelled amino acid studies are needed to try to separate these extraction ratios into their different components. Estimates could not be made without making large assumptions. For example, the values of the amino acid extraction ratios were calculated either for plasma or for whole blood, highlighting the necessity of choosing either plasma or blood as amino acid carriers. Even though the published data gave results of a certain order of magnitude they were not consistent. Large discrepancies remained between the plasma and blood-extracted quantities, a problem that was already considered in the simulation step. This analytical bias reduced the possibility of using these values for different animals and diets especially for lactating animals, for which no data were available. Finally, homeorhetic regulation linked to the lactation could not be estimated.

Mammary gland

As judged from the quantity of data available, the mammary gland was the most examined
organ. However, the applicability of the results obtained remains limited. First of all, the blood flow was often determined by invasive techniques, which may interfere with measurement. An indirect method was used but it did not give consistent results (Cant et al, 1993); the principle of avoiding any surgery on the cow is sound, but the assumption concerning the Phe and Tyr transfer into milk protein seemed to be too critical. An important problem is that no model was able to describe the situation for high-producing cows due to the lack of published data for these animals. This highlighted the need to extend the use of statistical models that are fitted for a certain range of values of independent parameters (here 0–30 L/day) up to 50 L/day. A second limitation was that amino acid extraction ratios varied greatly. However, no factors related to milk protein content or protein production could adequately explain these variations. As a consequence, the ‘pull’ hypothesis (Knight et al, 1994) received support, but the driving force for this extraction could not be identified in the present study. It could be a component in the milk, a hormonal factor at the local or whole body level, or a combination of these factors. These different possibilities emphasized the weakness of our statistical models.

In conclusion, the mammary gland amino acid uptake seemed to be regulated by the physiological state as well as being passively determined by the blood flow rate. A simultaneous study of hormone concentrations in the blood, together with amino acid extraction from the different blood compartments and their appearance in milk protein, could be an interesting approach in the future, following up on the work of Backwell et al (eg, Backwell, 1994) and Tesseraud et al (1992). A better understanding of the different steps between amino acid transport in the mammary cells and milk protein release systems, as well as their regulation, should help to clarify between the ‘pull’ and ‘push’ hypotheses.

Amino acid transport in the blood

No quantitative theory was found for amino acid transport in blood. Most of the authors considered free amino acids in the plasma as being indicative of the amino acids available for tissues and organs. However, for each protein compartment considered in our work it was demonstrated that the amino acids and small peptides in the plasma as well as those attached to the erythrocytes are taken up or released by several tissues (Backwell, 1994). This would support the results of our simulations which indicated unbalanced situations only if the plasma free amino acids were taken into account. Extensive studies of the different organs and tissues must be undertaken to quantitatively evaluate the importance of the different amino acid carriers in the blood. This could help to define reasonable laws of uptake or release by the protein compartments related to quantitative dietary factors and physiological states of the animals.

Regulations of the transport and partition of amino acids

The study of inter-organ amino acid exchanges could help to define the operating subsystem of amino acid metabolism (Sauvant, 1994). A regulated subsystem, however, needs to be clarified to predict short term (homeostasis) and long term (homeorhesis) regulation. Some qualitative effects of hormones were studied, but were not quantified. They could be considered to be the first step towards the construction of a model describing the homeorhetic control of animal (growth, lactation, gestation) or short-term needs (transient over- or under-nutrition). The authors are not aware of an attempt to build a regulation for the partition of individual amino acids. This would be necessary in order to be able to predict the production of amino acids products like milk protein or muscular fibre by each tis-
sue or organ. It seems difficult, however, to attain this goal due to the lack of knowledge about the rules concerning the amino acids and the strong interactions between the various driving factors.

Animal amino acid metabolism should be considered as a set of complex processes in a dynamic system. This work outlines some aspects of this highly active system. However, gaps in existing quantitative knowledge appeared within the different phenomena. Consequently, even if an integrated approach seemed relevant, large assumptions would need to be made if a model based on this work is to function. Some features have nevertheless been underlined. The first was that the factorial approach, which considers a constant requirement by the whole animal for maintenance and a strict separation between the needs for maintenance and production, did not seem to be directly applicable for amino acids. The differing amino acid composition and metabolism of the various tissues supported this conclusion. This was observed by Rulquin et al (1993) when they implemented the PDI system with Lys and Met. They also observed curvilinear responses in milk and protein production with increasing supply of these two amino acids. This is one indicator of the complex interactions at play. The intermediary amino acid metabolism is very stable, but significant variations can be observed after marginal increase of the input. This review also noted the agonistic and antagonistic behaviour of organs and tissues depending on their priority in the whole animal metabolism. The liver seemed to take up a large proportion of the amino acids which were consequently not available for milk protein synthesis. However, with its high uptake ratio, the mammary gland is a highly competitive organ for amino acid utilization. An initial conclusion was that the liver and mammary gland competed for some common nutrients, eg, amino acids. However, this approach excluded the peptides and the blood-cell-bound amino acids which could be released by the liver and then made available for peripheral tissues. This antagonism should be studied further.

For the muscle tissues, the only available data were from growing animals. In these animals, the muscles took up a large quantity of essential amino acids. This was not the case for essential amino acids at the beginning of lactation. Some questions are raised, however, with the work of Meijer et al (1995) when they demonstrated that Gln can be limiting for milk synthesis due to its high utilization in the muscles in the lactating cow. This supports the need for the integration of a whole intermediary amino acid metabolism in a set of experiments with lactating cows to examine the dynamic competition between organs and tissues.

**CONCLUSION**

By pooling a large number of data, statistical relations could be calculated. Their limitations should be kept in mind, ie, they can only be used in the range of the fitted observations, including the species and physiological status. In the present effort most of the presented relationships were for one species. Limitations also arose from the technical and analytical problems associated with the determination of the parameters concerning intermediary metabolism. This study stressed the fact that nearly no quantitative regulation is known regarding the fates of amino acids in the organism, and that most of the data should be considered as semi-quantitative. The importance of monitoring several nutrients and hormones at the same time in experiments characterizing regulatory systems, has been highlighted. The definition of a regulated subsystem aimed at predicting the production of either meat or milk protein is needed.
and a concerted action should be planned to eliminate the gaps in our knowledge indicated in this work. Along the same lines, future feed evaluation systems should integrate elements of both energy and amino acid metabolism due to the significant interactions between these metabolites as reported by Danfaer (1979).
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