Original article

Effect of simultaneous variation of weight, density, temperature and O_2 concentration on rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) body composition

FJ Martinez [1], MP Garcia [1], M Canteras [2],
J De Costa [1], S Zamora [1]

[1] University of Murcia, Department of Physiology and Pharmacology, Espinado, 30100 Murcia;
[2] University of Murcia, Department of Biostatistics, 30100 Murcia, Espinado, Spain

Summary — The simultaneous effect of weight, initial density (kg/m^3, temperature and O_2 concentration on rainbow trout body composition (fat, protein, moisture and ash) has been studied. In 3 successive experimental phases fish were kept in different lots of varying initial weight (178–372 g), initial density (7.2–38.8 kg/m^3) and temperature (15–20 °C). Simple correlations were statistically significant for weight *vs* fat ($r = 0.56$; $P < 0.001$) and moisture ($r = -0.57$; $P < 0.001$); temperature *vs* fat ($r = 0.73$; $P < 0.001$) moisture ($r = -0.73$; $P < 0.001$) and ash ($r = -0.26$; $P < 0.02$); and O_2 concentration *vs* fat ($r = 0.22$; $P < 0.05$). Multivariant equations for the different compounds were obtained. Only fat and moisture percentages showed significant differences ($r_m = 0.75$; $P < 0.00005$); an inverse relation existing between them ($r = -0.94$; $P < 0.001$). Temperature is the factor which has the strongest influence on fat and moisture when it varies simultaneously with weight, initial density and O_2 concentration, which is shown by its equation coefficients ($P < 0.00005$).

rainbow trout / body composition / temperature / weight / density / O_2 concentration

Résumé — **Effet de différents facteurs sur la composition corporelle de la truite arc-en-ciel.** *L'effet simultané du poids, de la densité de population initiale (kg/m^3), de la température et de la concentration d'oxygène a été étudié sur la composition corporelle de la truite arc-en-ciel (graisses, protéines, humidité et cendres). Les poissons ont été maintenus pendant 3 périodes expérimentales successives en lots séparés différant par le poids initial (178–372 g), la densité initiale (7,2–38,8 kg/ m^3) et la température (15–20 °C).*
Les corrélations simples sont statistiquement significatives entre le poids des animaux et le pourcentage des graisses (r = 0,56; P < 0,001) et celui de l'humidité (r = -0,57; P < 0,001), et entre la température et le pourcentage des graisses (r = 0,73, P < 0,001), de l'humidité (r = -0,73; P < 0,001) et des cendres (r = -0,26, P < 0,02).
Des équations multivariantes ont été obtenues pour les différentes composantes. Seuls les pourcentages de graisse et d'humidité changent significativement en relation inverse (r = -0,94, P < 0,001), sous l'effet des facteurs considérés (r_m = 0,75, P < 0,00005).

Quand les facteurs changent tous ensemble, la température est le facteur qui influence le plus les pourcentages de graisses et d'humidité, ce qu'on peut observer par ses coefficients des équations (P < 0,000 05).

truite arc-en-ciel / composition corporelle / température / poids / densité de population / concentration d'oxygène

INTRODUCTION

The chemical composition of the whole body is often used as an index of quality in fish (Reinitz, 1983).

A great number of factors has been shown to influence body composition (Love, 1957; Weatherley and Gill, 1983a, 1983b). Parker and Varstone (1966) have described changes in composition according to the size, age, diet and particular life stages of young pink salmon *(Oncorhynchus gorbuscha)*.

Steffens (1979) has shown that the edible portion (muscle + tegument) of older rainbow trout contains a greater proportion of lipids and dry substance than their younger counterparts.

As fish increase in weight, their water and protein percentages decrease and those of fat increase (Papoutsoglou and Papaparaskeva-Papoutsoglou, 1978), a negative correlation between relative water content and lipid content thus being established (Brett *et al,* 1969; Love, 1970; Kausch and Ballion-Cusmano, 1976). Denton and Yousef (1976) and Staples and Nomura (1976), have also demonstrated a tendency in older and heavier examples of rainbow trout for fat depot.

Without actually determining the influential factors Papoutsoglou *et al* (1979) have shown that stock density not only affects food consumption and growth but also body composition. Protein content fluctuates within normal values, although an increase in this parameter can be noted at maximum fish density while the opposite seems to occur at minimum density. Similar fluctuations appear for total lipid percentages (Papoutsoglou *et al,* 1980).

The component most influenced by temperature seems to be lipids. In general, fish fat deposits develop in parallel to temperature for the same level of fat in the diet (Murray *et al,* 1977; and Leatherland *et al* (1977) and Reinitz (1980) agree as to the smaller amount of body fat and the greater water content found in trout cultivated in colder temperatures.

Several studies on the factors that influence body composition have been performed but not when there is simultaneous variation in diverse factors. This leads to a specific approach.

A series of studies on the multifactorial effect of simultaneous variation in weight, density, temperature and O_2 concentration (within ranges considered as normoxia) on several aspects of rainbow trout *(Oncorhynchus mykiss)* were carried out and the influence on body composition reported.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Rainbow trout *(Oncorhynchus mykiss)* from the Navarra fish farm, Riofrio (Granada) were used.

The adaptation period lasted 1 month (February). Fish were kept in 200-l fibre cement tanks, with a freely flowing water circuit, which was dechlorinated by an active carbon filter with a renewal rate of approximately 10%/h. Air was pumped into the water and the concentration of O_2 measured twice a day 30 min after feeding by means of a Simplair electrode (Syland), maintained at approximately 7 ± 2 ppm. The tempera-

ture was measured at similar intervals. Photoperiod was kept constant at 10:14 (L:D). The experimental period lasted 3 months (from March to May), and under the same conditions. The water temperature varied following the natural variation from 15 °C in February to 20 °C in May.

The fish were fed 2% of their initial weight (split into 2 feeds at 10.00 and 19.00) using a commercial trout feed (Cipasa) of the following composition: 40% protein; 7.75% fat; 9.5% moisture; 14% ash and 28.75% NFEM.

The experimental period was divided into 3 phases of 20, 38 and 26 days. At the beginning, 150 trout were weighed and distributed into 6 lots according to their size. After the first phase, fish were weighed to obtain their final weight. In the second phase, the trout were assigned to 6 new lots according to their new size. Food intake was also adapted to the new initial weight (2%). After this phase fish were weighed again. The same procedure was carried out in the third phase, obtaining a total of 19 lots, with an aver-

age initial weight which varied between 178 and 372 g. The lowest density was 7.2 kg/m^3 and the highest 38.8 kg/m^3. The characteristics of each lot appear in table I. Before each weighing, fish were starved for 20–24 h.

To determine body composition, 4 or 5 individuals were taken from each lot at the end of each experimental phase. Fish were triturated, homogenized and analyzed individually as follows:

Protein : determined by the Kjeldahl method using a mixture of potassium sulphate, copper sulphate and selenium as catalyzer. The factor 6.25 was used for the transformation of nitrogen into protein.

Fat : determined by extraction with ethyl ether in a Soxtec system HTC extractor.

Moisture : determined after dessication at 105 ± 1 °C until constant weight was reached.

Ash : determined by incineration in a muffle oven at 450 ± 2 °C until constant weight was reached.

Table I. Description of lots and experimental conditions.

L	W$_1$	WR	d	D$_1$	O$_2$	T
1	178.8 ± 1.22	165–185	20	24.1	6.1 ± 1	15.3 ± 0.5
2	206.5 ± 0.43	205–210	20	29.9	5.9 ± 0.5	15.2 ± 0.5
3	217.6 ± 0.96	215–225	20	20.6	6.6 ± 1	15.1 ± 0.5
4	220 ± 0.70	215–225	20	27.5	6.1 ± 1	15.3 ± 0.5
5	231.5 ± 0.52	230–235	20	23.1	6.9 ± 1	15 ± 0.5
6	242 ± 0.93	235–250	20	29.0	6.7 ± 1	15 ± 0.3
7	232.5 ± 2.41	205–250	38	25.5	7 ± 2	16.8 ± 2
8	256.4 ± 1.45	245–275	38	30.7	6.8 ± 1.5	16.7 ± 1.5
9	266 ± 2.46	250–285	38	18.6	7.5 ± 1.5	16.5 ± 1.5
10	272.7 ± 1.59	255–290	38	27.2	6.7 ± 1.5	16.7 ± 1.5
11	281 ± 2.01	260–290	38	21.0	7.5 ± 2	16.5 ± 1.5
12	295.7 ± 2.62	275–320	38	28.1	7.4 ± 1.5	16.5 ± 1.5
13	248.3 ± 8.26	200–280	26	11.1	7.5 ± 2	19.7 ± 2
14	295.5 ± 4.47	260–320	26	25.1	6.3 ± 2	20.7 ± 2
15	317.1 ± 4.72	295–330	26	11.1	7.8 ± 2	20.7 ± 2.5
16	342.6 ± 3.67	315–355	26	25.7	6.7 ± 2	20.6 ± 2
17	353.1 ± 4.49	310–380	26	38.8	5.8 ± 2	20.6 ± 2.5
18	363.7 ± 2.33	360–370	26	7.2	8.1 ± 2	20.6 ± 2.5
19	372.2 ± 14.7	295–430	26	16.7	6.7 ± 1.5	20.7 ± 2

L = No lot; W$_1$ = initial average weight ± SE in g; WR = weight range in g; d = No of days; D$_1$ = Initial density kg/m^3; O$_2$ = oxygen concentration ± range (ppm); T = temperature ± range °C.

To study the interrelationship of protein, fat, moisture, and ash percentages and their dependence on weight, density, temperature, and O_2 concentration – when these factors varied simultaneously –, a simple and a multiple correlation and regression analysis were made by means of a BMDP4M program (Dixon and Brown, 1982) in a terminal connected to the Murcia University Data Processing Centre.

RESULTS

Table II shows the results of mean body composition corresponding to trout taken from each lot. The most notable variations are in body fat and moisture content.

Fat had a significant negative correlation with moisture content (table III). The simple correlation of protein with fat was similar, but with a smaller determination coefficient (r^2). Protein had a significant positive correlation with moisture content, with the smallest determination coefficient.

Temperature had a significant positive correlation with fat and a negative correlation with moisture content (table IV). The simple correlation of weight with both variables was similar but with a smaller determination coefficient and thus a smaller dependence. There was also a negative simple correlation between temperature and total mineral percentage, but with a determination coefficient of 6.74%.

Table II. Results for body composition. These data correspond to the average values calculated in each lot by the analysis of a trout sample.

L	ΔW	W_a	Protein (%)	Fat (%)	Moisture (%)	Ash (%)
1	49.1	210 ± 6.08	18.03 ± 0.16	6.92 ± 0.70	72.27 ± 0.63	2.72 ± 0.20
2	47.6	237.4 ± 2.41	17.86 ± 0.73	7.78 ± 0.65	72.18 ± 0.46	2.49 ± 0.15
3	45.5	252 ± 4.56	18.29 ± 0.15	7.15 ± 0.41	72.67 ± 0.36	2.66 ± 0.13
4	49.4	249.4 ± 3.93	17.90 ± 0.36	8.54 ± 0.88	70.92 ± 0.84	2.42 ± 0.11
5	48	275.4 ± 8.94	18.32 ± 0.53	7.52 ± 0.52	71.97 ± 0.49	2.59 ± 0.32
6	50.9	285 ± 3.30	18.32 ± 0.34	7.65 ± 0.84	72.61 ± 0.78	2.65 ± 1.05
7	49.8	266.2 ± 5.54	17.91 ± 0.13	9.28 ± 0.54	69.76 ± 0.49	2.86 ± 0.29
8	69.5	327.5 ± 10.3	17.95 ± 0.26	8.84 ± 0.52	70.90 ± 0.58	2.55 ± 0.13
9	81.5	335 ± 7.01	18.14 ± 0.64	8.06 ± 0.58	71.76 ± 0.35	3.06 ± 0.31
10	80	330 ± 4.07	17.90 ± 0.37	9.85 ± 0.95	69.98 ± 0.84	2.39 ± 0.14
11	97.3	341.2 ± 6.58	18.25 ± 0.07	9.62 ± 0.91	70.17 ± 0.68	2.49 ± 0.13
12	93.7	375 ± 7.90	18.01 ± 0.22	9.94 ± 0.81	69.73 ± 0.77	2.34 ± 0.19
13	31.1	281.2 ± 10.0	17.78 ± 0.18	10.79 ± 1.22	70.05 ± 0.81	1.91 ± 0.16
14	30	330 ± 16.1	17.87 ± 0.22	11.11 ± 0.45	69.00 ± 0.43	2.16 ± 0.31
15	54.3	348.7 ± 12.9	18.44 ± 0.37	12.50 ± 0.63	67.50 + 0.85	2.39 ± 0.19
16	45	361.2 ± 17.0	17.89 ± 0.38	12.49 ± 1.49	67.65 ± 0.84	2.51 ± 0.10
17	26.9	368.7 ± 11.6	18.07 ± 0.22	11.51 ± 0.32	68.43 ± 0.32	2.37 ± 0.19
18	38.8	375 ± 13.2	18.28 ± 0.13	10.83 ± 1.46	69.27 ± 0.94	2.59 ± 0.28
19	47.8	367.5 ± 24.1	17.43 ± 0.18	13.97 ± 0.97	66.45 ± 0.76	2.28 ± 0.19

Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 ($n = 5$); lots 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17 and 19 ($n = 4$); lot 18 ($n = 3$). L = No of lot; ΔW = weight increase in g; W_a = average weight of sample ± SE in g.

Table III. Simple correlation (r) and determination (r^2) coefficients between percentages of protein (P), fat (F), ash (A) and moisture (M).

	P		F		A	
	r	r^2	r	r^2	r	r^2
F	−0.31 [b]	9.61	–	–	NS	--
A	NS	–	NS	–	--	–
M	0.23 [a]	5.29	−0.94 *	88.36	NS	–

[a] $P < 0.05$; [b] $P < 0.005$; * $P < 0.001$; NS : not significant; $n = 81$.

Table IV. Simple correlation (r) and determination (r^2) coefficients between dependent variables: percentages of protein (P), fat (F), ash (A) and moisture (M); and independent variables: weight (W), initial density (D_1), temperature (T) and O_2 concentration (O_2).

	W		D_1		O_2		T	
	r	r^2	r	r^2	r	r^2	r	r^2
P	NS	–	NS	–	NS	–	NS	–
F	0.56*	31.36	NS	–	0.22[a]	4.84	0.73	53.29
A	NS	–	NS	–	NS	–	−0.26[b]	6.76
M	−0.57*	32.49	NS	–	NS	–	−0.73*	53.29

[a] $P < 0.05$; [b] $P < 0.02$; * $P < 0.01$; NS : not significant.

Only body fat percentage was significantly correlated with O_2 concentration, although its determination coefficient indicated a very low dependence.

The multiple correlation table (table V) illustrates that only fat and moisture percentages were affected when all 4 factors were taken into account, although in both

Table V. Multiple correlation (r_m) and determination (r^2) coefficients between dependent variables: percentage of protein (P), fat (F), ash (A) and moisture (M); and independent variables: weight (W), initial density (D_1), O_2 concentration (O_2) and temperature (T); and corresponding regression coefficients next to their degree of significance (P).

	r_m	r^2	b_0	$b_1(W)$	$b_2(D_1)$	$b_3(O_2)$	$b_4(T)$
P	0.16	2.56	16.67	$-0.74 \cdot 10^{-3}$	0.009	0.258	−0.025
F	0.75*	56.25	−2.33	0.009	−0.014	−0.306	0.651*
A	0.29	8.41	2.44	$-0.19 \cdot 10^{-3}$	0.005	0.129	−0.054
M	0.75*	56.25	82.8	−0.007	−0.114	0.060	−0.602*

* $P < 0.00005$; $n = 81$.

cases only the regression equation coefficient corresponding to temperature was significant. The equations obtained for the multiple regression analysis are :

$$\% F = -2.33 + 0.009 \times W - 0.014 \times D_l - 0.306 \times O_2 + 0.651 \times T$$

$$\%M = 82.8 - 0.007 \times W - 0.114 \times D_l + 0.06 \times O_2 - 0.602 \times T$$

DISCUSSION

In general, tissue final composition is influenced by a variety of factors, the most important of them being the type of food and the environmental characteristics. This composition determines the nutritive value and palatability of the fish.

The results obtained in our experiment are comparable, at least when the experimental characteristics (temperature, initial density and O_2 concentration) are similar to those obtained by other authors (Reinitz, 1983; Weatherley and Gill, 1983b). It should be noted that experimental conditions in this study were within a very narrow range. Thus, neither weight, O_2 concentration ranges nor duration of the experiment permit the results for rainbow trout to be extrapolated to all situations.

In our study, only weight and duration of the experiment were controlled factors, while temperature, density and O_2 concentration were not. This design permitted an approximation to the practical conditions occurring in fish farming.

Of all the components analyzed, fat and moisture clearly varied between the different lots (table II), and their variations were observed to present an inverse correlation (table III), as described by other authors (Brett *et al*, 1969; Love, 1970; Kausch and Ballion-Cusmano, 1976; Kim *et al*, 1989).

Protein percentage decreased as lipids increased, and showed little correlation with water content, as also observed by other authors (Weatherley and Gill, 1983b).

These changes were more pronounced as temperature rose (as noted in previous studies; Murray *et al*, 1977), and as weight increased (table IV), and as noted by other authors (Storebakken and Austreng, 1987a,b; Hepher, 1988). However, when all factors were taken into consideration (table V), the main factor responsible for the increase in fat and decrease in moisture was the rise in temperature. The influence of weight was overshadowed by the strong effect of temperature.

As is already known, the storage of fat in the tissues is accompanied by water decrease, which explains the inverse relation between both percentages obtained here. Our results do not permit a conclusion to be made on whether the effect of temperature concerns the fat or the moisture. It should also be noted that the influence of temperature results from its joint effect with the other factors: weight, density and O_2 concentration.

There is evidence that thermal tolerance at high temperatures is related to a reduction in free body water (Fry, 1957); in this manner, in ectotherms temperature acclimation and tolerance are closely associated with factors which govern their osmoregulation. An increase in elimination of urine results from an increase in cardiorespiratory activity (Houston, 1973). If the effect of temperature was only on moisture, all components would consequently increase, but our results show a decrease in protein percentage as moisture content falls.

Stomachal evacuation rate increases with temperature have been observed (Jobling and Spencer, 1979). This could result in lower diet digestibility; apparent digestibility coefficient (ADC), however, is not sig-

nificantly influenced by water temperature (9–18 °C) in rainbow trout (Cho and Kaushik, 1990).

We have shown that the experimental conditions reported in this paper result in a lower growth and diet utilization efficiency (Martinez *et al*, 1992a, b), temperature being the most influential factor. This is in agreement with the findings of other authors (Creach *et al*, 1981–1982; Vellas *et al*, 1982; Zanuy and Carrillo, 1985). This fact requires a reduction in feeding level. To exclude other variables, maintaining a constant feeding rate was preferred in our study.

Jackim and La Roche (1973) found in *Fundulus heteroclitus* that protein synthesis increased with increase in temperature up to a critical point, beyond which it decreased sharply. In our study we consider that protein synthesis is depressed in fish subject to higher temperatures.

This "excess" energy could be converted into triglycerides which would give rise to fat deposits. The increase in fat (mainly peri-intestinal) observed in our study could be accounted for by that excess energy.

This work supports the view that the complexity of interaction of environmental factors renders necessary many more multifactorial experiments incorporating physical and biological factors (Hutchinson, 1976) to "improve predictive powers of assessing ecological consequences of altered thermal environments" (Brett, 1969).

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

REFERENCES

Brett JR (1969) Resume – temperatures and fishes. *Chesapeake Sci* 10, 275-276

Brett JR, Shelbourn JE, Shoop T (1969) Growth rate and body composition of fingerling sockeye salmon, *Oncorhynchus nerka*, in relation to temperature and ration. *J Fish Res Bd Can* 26, 2363-2394

Cho CY, Kaushik SJ (1990) Nutritional energetics in fish: energy and protein utilization in rainbow trout *(Salmo gairdneri)*. *World Rev Nutr Diet* 61, 132-172

Creach Y, Parent JP, Leva JM (1981–1982) Influence de la température sur l'évolution postprandiale des acides amines et sur la synthèse protéique chez la truite arc-en-ciel *(Salmo gairdneri)*. *Ann Inst Michel Pacha* 13, 47-65

Denton JE, Yousef MK (1976) Body composition and organ weights of rainbow trout *Salmo gairdneri*. *J Fish Biol* 8, 489-499

Dixon WJ, Brown MB (eds) (1982) *Biomedical Computer Programs. P-Series*. Univ California Press, Berkeley, 880 pp

Fry FEJ (1957) The aquatic respiration of fish. In: *The Physiology of Fishes* (Brown ME, ed) Academic Press, New York, NY, vol 1, 1-63

Hepher B (1988) *Nutrition of Pond Fishes*. Cambridge University Press, 388 pp

Houston AH (1973) Environmental temperature and the body fluid system of the teleost. In: *Responses of Fish to Environmental Changes* (Chavin W, ed) CC Thomas, Springfield, IL, 87-162

Hutchinson VM (1976) Factors influencing thermal tolerances of individual organisms. *Therma Ecol* 11, 10-26

Jackim E, La Roche G (1973) Protein synthesis in *Fundulus heteroclitus* muscle. *Comp Biochem Physiol* 44A, 851-866

Jobling M, Spencer PD (1979) Gastric evacuation in plaice *Pleuronectes platessa* L. Effects of temperature and meal size. *J Fish Biol* 17, 187-196

Kausch H, Ballion-Cusmano MF (1976) Körperzusammensetzung, Wachstum und Nahrungsaus-nutzung bei jungen karpfen (*Cyprinus carpio* L) unter Intensiuhaltung Bedingungen. *Arch Hydrobiol* (suppl) 48, 141-180

Kim JD, Kaushik SJ, Pascaud M (1989) Effects of dietary lipids to protein ratios on the fatty acids composition of muscle lipids in rainbow trout. *Nutr Rep Int* 40, 9-16

Leatherland JF, CHo CY, Slinger SJ (1977) Effects of diets, ambient temperature, and holding conditions on plasma thyroxine levels in rainbow trout *(Salmo gairdneri)*. *J Fish Res Bd Can* 34, 677-682

Love RM (1957) The biochemical composition of fish. *In: Physiology of Fishes* (Brown ME, ed) Academic Press Inc, New York, vol I, 401-418

Love RM (1970) *The Chemical Biology of Fishes*. Academic Press, New York, 547 pp

Martinez FJ, Garcia MP, Canteras M, de Costa J, Zamora S (1992a) Simultaneous effect of initial weight, initial crowding, temperature and O_2 concentration on the nutritional use of food by rainbow trout *(Oncorhynchus mykiss)*. *Arch Int Physiol Biochim Biophys* (in press)

Martinez FJ, Garcia MP, Canteras M, de Costa J, Zamora S (1992b) Factors simultaneously affecting the growth of rainbow trout *(Oncorhynchus mykiss)*. *Arch Int Physiol Biochim Biophys* 100 (in press)

Murray MW, Andrews JW, Deloach HL (1977) Effects of dietary lipids, dietary proteins and environmental temperatures on growth, feed conversion and body composition of channel catfish. *J Nutr* 107, 272-280

Papoutsoglou SE, Papaparaskeva-Papoutsoglou EG (1978) Comparative studies on body composition of rainbow trout *(Salmo gairdneri R)* in relation to type of diet and growth rate. *Aquaculture* 13, 235-243

Papoutsoglou SE, Papaparaskeva-Papoutsoglou EG, Dendrinos PK (1979) Studies on the effect of density on body composition, growth rate and survival of rainbow trout fry reared in semiclosed system. *Thalassographica* 3 (1), 43-56

Papoutsoglou SE, Papaparaskeva-Papoutsoglou EG, Alexis M (1980) Rainbow trout growth and production in relation to water volume unit. *Thalassographica* 2(3), 43-52

Parker R, Varstone WE (1966) Changes in chemical composition of central British Columbia pink salmon during early sea life. *J Fish Res Bd Can* 23, 1353-1384

Reinitz G (1980) Acceptability of animal fat in diets for rainbow trout at two environmental temperatures. *Prog Fish Cult* 42(4), 218-222

Reinitz G (1983) Relative effect of age, diet, and feeding rate on the body composition of young rainbow trout *(Salmo gairdneri)*. *Aquaculture* 35, 19-27

Staples DJ, Nomura M (1976) Influence of body size and food ration on the energy budget of rainbow trout *(Salmo gairdneri* Rich). *J Fish Biol* 9, 29-43

Steffens W (1979) Der EBbare Anteil und Seine chemische Zusammensetzung bei Regenbogenforelle *(Salmo gairdneri)* untersheidlicher Gröbe. *Die Nahr* 23 (9-10), 935-941

Storebakken T, Austreng E (1987a) Ration level for salmonids. I. Growth, survival, body composition and feed conversion in Atlantic salmon fry and fingerling. *Aquaculture* 60, 189-206

Storebakken T, Austreng E (1987b) Ration level for salmonids. II. Growth, feed intake, protein digestibility, body composition and feed conversion in rainbow trout weighing 0.5–1.0 kg. *Aquaculture* 60, 207-221

Vellas F, Parent JP, Bahamondes I, Charpenteau M (1982) Influence d'une augmentation de la température sur certains aspects du catabolisme azoté chez la truite arc-en-ciel *(Salmo gairdneri* Rich). *Reprod Nutr Dev* 22, 851-864

Weatherley AH, Gill HS (1983a) Relative growth of tissue at different somatic growth rates in rainbow trout *Salmo gairdneri* Richardson. *J Fish Biol* 22, 43-60

Weatherley AH, Gill HS (1983b) Protein, lipid, water and caloric contents of immature rainbow trout, *Salmo gairdneri* Richardson, growing at different rates. *J Fish Biol* 23, 653-673

Zanuy S, Carrillo M (1985) Annual cycles of growth, feeding rate, gross conversion efficiency and hematocrit levels of sea bass *(Dicentrarchus labrax* L) adapted to two different osmotic media. *Aquaculture* 44, 11-25