Prolactin release and milk removal induced by suckling and milking in lactating ewes is prevented by L-DOPA treatment
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Summary — The effect of L-DOPA on milk removal and on prolactin release during suckling or milking was studied in lactating ewes. Various doses of L-DOPA (25, 50, 100 and 200 mg per animal) were injected iv 30 min before the suckling or milking period. Control ewes were injected with 0.9% NaCl solution only. Milking induced a significant long-lasting release of prolactin. An inhibition of milk removal was obtained with the dose of 200 mg of L-DOPA. An inhibition of prolactin secretion was observed related to the dose of drug administered. The inhibitory effect of 200 mg of L-DOPA on the secretion of prolactin after milking lasted for about 120 min, and thereafter a significant increase in serum prolactin level occurred. This increase in serum prolactin was not due to a "rebound" effect of L-DOPA, since the milking stimulus had to be present to induce the delayed increase in prolactin. Doses of 25 or 50 mg of L-DOPA prevented the surge of prolactin observed immediately after milking, but a long-lasting release of prolactin was obtained thereafter. The inhibitory effect of L-DOPA on prolactin release could be overridden by the suckling or milking stimuli according to the dose administered. The sucking stimulus was more effective than milking in overriding the inhibitory effect of the low dose of L-DOPA. The results indicate that milk removal and prolactin release induced by milking or sucking in lactating ewes is inhibited by an increase in monoamines at the hypothalamic-hypophyseal level.

Résumé — Inhibition par la L-DOPA de la décharge de prolactine observée lors de la traite ou la tétée chez la brebis allaitante. Influence sur la production du lait. L'effet de l'administration aiguë de L-DOPA sur la traite et sur la décharge de prolactine observée lors de la stimulation mammaire est décrit chez la brebis en lactation. Des doses variables de L-DOPA (25, 50, 100 et 200 mg/animal) sont administrées par voie iv 30 min avant la stimulation mammaire (traite ou allaitement). Les brebis «témoins» reçoivent au même moment, par la même voie, une solution de sérum physiologique. La traite, comme la tétée, sont à l'origine d'une importante décharge de prolactine d'assez longue durée. La dose de 200 mg de L-DOPA diminue la quantité de lait obtenue tant à la traite que par la tétée de l'agneau. Une inhibition du réflexe de libération de la prolactine est observée, proportionnellement à la quantité de L-DOPA administrée. Elle dure au moins 2 h après l'application du stimulus dans le cas de l'administration de 200 mg de L-DOPA. Ensuite se produit une élévation signi-

* Correspondence and reprints.
ficative du taux de la prolactinémie, indépendante d'un phénomène de «rebond» éventuellement conséquence de l'injection de L-DOPA, puisque pour observer ce phénomène, il faut qu'il y ait eu stimulation mammaire. Les doses de 25 et 50 mg de L-DOPA inhibent la décharge de prolactine réflexe immédiatement après la traite uniquement dans sa composante d'amplitude sans en modifier la durée. L'effet inhibiteur de l'administration de L-DOPA sur la décharge de prolactine peut être modulé en fonction des doses de L-DOPA injectées par le stimulus résultant de la traite ou de la tétée. A cet égard, la tétée s'est révélée plus efficace que la traite. Cette expérimentation démontre que la quantité de lait obtenue après une traite ou une tétée, ainsi que la décharge de prolactine concomitante peuvent être inhibées par une augmentation de monoamines au niveau hypothalamo-hypophysaire.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Hypothalamic catecholamines which are present at high concentrations have an important role in regulating the secretion of anterior and posterior pituitary hormones. Evidence for involvement of hypothalamic and hypophyseal dopamine in the regulation of prolactin and oxytocin release during suckling has been reported. Thus a clear inhibitory effect of dopamine on prolactin release has been observed in lactating animals (Davis and Borger, 1973; Priulusky and Deis, 1975; Deis and Priulusky, 1976; Cronin *et al.*, 1978; Chiocchio *et al.*, 1979). The effect of L-DOPA or dopamine on oxytocin release and milk removal is still controversial. Several authors have shown with meticulous methodology that centrally applied dopamine induces oxytocin release (Bridges *et al.*, 1976; Clarke *et al.*, 1979; Moss and Richard, 1979; 1982), while others administering L-DOPA to conscious rats observed an inhibition on the release of oxytocin and on milk removal (Priulusky and Deis, 1975; Seybold *et al.*, 1978). On the other hand, bromocriptine, a dopaminergic agonist, did not affect oxytocin secretion in lactating rats (Russel *et al.*, 1981).

The following experiments were undertaken to study the effect of the suckling or milking stimulus on prolactin release and on milk removal in ewes treated with L,3-4-dihydroxyphenylalanine (L-DOPA).

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

Primiparous lactating ewes (Prealpes du Sud) were used from 20 days up to 3 months after parturition. The ewes nursed their lambs for at least 4 weeks. Milked ewes were used between 30–90 days after parturition. The average days postpartum did not differ among the different milked groups. In the experiments in which the effect of the suckling stimulus was studied, the mother was isolated from the lamb from 10.00 until 14.00 h. The same interval was established in the milked group. Before being returned to the lactating ewe, the lamb was weighed and then allowed to suckle for 5 min. The lamb was then reweighed and the gain in weight during the time of suckling was taken as an index of the amount of milk removed from the mother. Hand-milked lactating ewes were each milked for 5 min at 14.00 h, 4 h after the first milking in the morning at 10.00 h. The amount of milk obtained was recorded.

To obtain a central effect of dopamine which does not cross the blood–brain barrier, various doses of its precursor, L-DOPA (25, 50, 100 and 200 mg/animal), dissolved in 0.9% NaCl solution were injected iv (jugular vein) 30 min before the suckling or milking period. The injection was followed or not by suckling or milking. Control ewes were injected with saline only. Blood samples were obtained from the jugular vein 5 min before and 5, 30, 60, 90, 120, 180 and 210 min after the suckling or milking period.
Serum prolactin was measured by radioimmunoassay according to the method previously described (Kann, 1971). The results of the prolactin determination are expressed in ng/ml of PS7 NIH (24 IU/mg). The variation between controls and L-DOPA-treated groups was determined by one-way analysis of variance and Student's t-test.

RESULTS

Effects of L-DOPA administration on prolactin release

In 18 control ewes milked for 5 min, serum prolactin increased from 45 ± 5 ng/ml (pre-milking values) to 215 ± 32 ng/ml ($P < 0.001$) and the levels remained significantly higher than the pre-milking values until 90 min after ($P < 0.001$ at 30 min, $P < 0.01$ at 60 min, and $P < 0.01$ at 90 min). As shown in figure 1, in 16 ewes the normal release of prolactin induced by milking was prevented by L-DOPA (200 mg) during the first 90 min after milking. Serum prolactin levels were not significantly different from the pre-milking values. However, a significant increase in serum prolactin concentration was obtained at 180 and 210 min ($P < 0.001$). L-DOPA also significantly reduced the pre-milking serum prolactin values ($P < 0.001$). In lactating ewes treated with L-DOPA but without milking following injection, the serum prolactin level was at none of the times studied, significantly higher than the mean value in control ewes before milking, and no peaks were observed. No differences in serum prolactin were found at 180 and 210 min between the non-milked L-DOPA-treated group and the control milked ewes (see fig 1). A dose of 50 mg of L-DOPA administered to 6 ewes prevented the release of prolactin only 5 min after milking, but a significant increase occurred during the following 120 min ($P < 0.025$ at 30 min, $P < 0.001$ at 60 and 90 min and $P < 0.025$ at 120 min), when compared to the pre-milking value. However, this dose of L-DOPA did not significantly reduce the pre-milking prolactin concentration. In a group of 10 lactating ewes, the dose of 25 mg of L-DOPA did not affect the total release of prolactin induced by milking but altered the profile of the curve (fig 2). Thus, 5 min after milking, the prolactin peak was significantly lower than the peak in the non-treated milked ewes ($P < 0.001$) but the summation of the amount of prolactin released in the different periods (+ 5 to 210
min) showed similar values in both groups (control = 598.8 ng; L-DOPA 25 mg = 626.4 ng).

The surge in serum prolactin in groups of 3 suckling or 10 milked ewes was not different 5 and 30 min after the stimulus (fig 2). The prolactin levels were increased from pre-suckling values and continued to rise up to 120 min after suckling. A significant difference in serum prolactin concentration was found at 60 min ($P < 0.05$) and 90 min ($P < 0.01$) between the suckled and milked groups treated with 25 mg of L-DOPA.

**Effect of L-DOPA on milk removal (fig 3)**

No difference was observed in the amount of milk obtained by the lamb during a 5-min suckling period in a group of control lactating ewes during 3 consecutive days. The administration of 100 mg of L-DOPA did not affect milk removal. The dose of 200 mg of L-DOPA caused a significant inhibition of milk removal in the suckled ($P < 0.01$) and milked group ($P < 0.05$) (paired Student's $t$ test).

**DISCUSSION**

The present results show that milking induced a significant long-lasting release of prolactin. This prolactin surge was prevented by different doses of L-DOPA, this inhibition being related to the dose of drug administered. The inhibitory effect of different catecholamines on prolactin release has been demonstrated by experiments in vivo and in vitro (Donoso and Bishop, 1971; Davis and Borger, 1973; Prilusky and Deis, 1975; Deis and Prilusky, 1976; Chiocchio et al, 1979).

As shown in figure 1, the inhibitory effect of L-DOPA (200 mg) on the secretion
of prolactin after milking lasted for about 120 min, and thereafter a significant increase in serum prolactin concentration occurred. The doses of 25 and 50 mg of L-DOPA prevented the prolactin peak observed 5 min after milking in the control ewes. However, after the initial inhibition, a significant long-lasting release of prolactin was obtained with both dosages of L-DOPA (30, 60, 90 and 120 min after milking).

The pre-milking level of serum prolactin concentration was significantly lowered only by the large dose of L-DOPA administered 25 min before. The effect obtained by treating non-milked lactating ewes with 200 mg of L-DOPA may prove that the surge of serum prolactin observed after the effect of L-DOPA had passed was not due to a secondary effect of L-DOPA at the hypothalamic or pituitary level. It is clear that the milking stimulus must be present to induce the delayed increase in serum prolactin values. It is known that the nursing stimulus in lactating rats decreases hypothalamic prolactin inhibitory factor activity and pituitary dopamine levels (Mingguchi and Meites, 1967; Chiocchio et al, 1979). On the other hand, it has been proposed that L-DOPA or dopamine may exert a dual inhibitory control over prolactin release by stimulating the release of prolactin inhibiting factor from the median eminence and by acting directly on the gland to suppress prolactin release (Ojeda et al, 1974). In the present study the stimulating action of milking and suckling may have overridden the inhibitory effect of L-DOPA on prolactin release.

If L-DOPA acts by itself or after conversion to dopamine at both the hypothalamic and pituitary level, it is possible that L-DOPA prevented the release of prolactin from the pituitary but did not affect at the central nervous system level the action of the nursing stimulus which is still able to induce prolactin release at the time the pituitary is freed of the monoamine influence. We must also consider that the monoamine oxidase activity present in the pituitary gland may favour the transient nature of the catecholamine effect. On the other hand, experiments in vitro have shown that the pituitary may rapidly recover its capacity to release prolactin when it is transferred from a medium containing catecholamines to a catecholamine-free medium (MacLeod and Lehmeyer, 1972).

As shown in figure 2, the suckling stimulus is more potent than milking in overriding the inhibitory effect of L-DOPA. In the ewes treated with 25 mg of L-DOPA, the suckling stimulus by one lamb induced a 2–3-fold increase in prolactin release during the following 120 min when compared to the amount of prolactin released by milking.

As with prolactin, milk removal induced by suckling or milking was also prevented by the high dose of L-DOPA. There appears to be a peripheral neural control of the mammary structures, especially in larger animals and this control is in part adrenergic. Two hundred mg dosage of L-DOPA may well have influenced this peripheral mechanism controlling the ability to remove milk from the mammary gland.

In our experiments, the effect of L-DOPA on milk removal and on prolactin release is probably mediated through dopamine, considering the 30 min delay between L-DOPA administration and the milking or suckling period. Observations made by Glowinsky (1970) on L-DOPA metabolism may indicate that in the present studies the decrease in serum prolactin and milk removal takes place at a time when only the levels of dopamine in the central nervous system are elevated. We have no evidence indicating whether L-DOPA acts at the hypothalamic or the hypophyseal level. A binding site with characteristics of the dopamine receptors has been described in the anterior and posterior pituitary of sheep (Cronin et al, 1978).
The present findings correlate well with previous results obtained in lactating rats (Prilusky and Deis, 1975; Deis and Prilusky, 1976) and indicate that the monoamines are involved in the mechanisms of control of prolactin release and probably of milk removal in lactating ewes.
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