Effect of lasalocid sodium on rumen fermentation and digestion in sheep
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Summary. Four adult sheep were fed 4 diets successively according to a Latin-square design. They were fitted with a rumen cannula and with simple cannulae at the duodenum and ileum. The basal diet (L0) was composed of highly-pressed ensiled sugar beet pulp (56.2 %), cereal (barley and corn : 27.6 %), urea (1.5 %) and wheat straw (14.1 %). Lasalocid sodium was added to obtain the following respective amounts : 21 ppm for diet L1, 43 ppm for diet L2 and 64 ppm for diet L3 (table 1).

In the rumen, lasalocid significantly increased the molar proportion of propionic acid in the volatile fatty acids (VFA) mixture at the expense of the acetic and butyric acid proportions. The total VFA concentration decreased, especially with 43 and 64 ppm (table 2). Accordingly, the proportion of methane in rumen gases decreased. The ciliate population was always lower in animals fed experimental diets L1, L2, and particularly L3. The non-food-particle-associated bacterial population also decreased ; the differences were significant only with the highest doses of lasalocid (L3). At the same time, bacterial cellulolytic activity increased 10 %, indicating that qualitative modifications had taken place in the rumen bacterial population (table 3).

Overall digestive utilization of organic matter (OM) decreased when lasalocid was added to the diets. This was due to a considerable reduction in forestomach digestion (12 % decrease) (table 4). A greater supply of OM rich in cell-wall carbohydrates in the duodenum would explain the lower digestibility in the small intestine of animals given diet L1 and especially the L2 and L3 diets. No significant shift in digestion was noted in the large intestine.

The composition of the non-ammonia nitrogen that entered the duodenum of sheep given lasalocid differed from that noted with the control diet (L0) (table 5). The amount of microbial proteins was significantly lower, whilst the proportion of non-degraded feed proteins was higher. The efficiency of microbial synthesis (bacterial proteins/kg OM truly digested in the rumen) was not significantly modified by lasalocid.

All these modifications in digestion observed with these diets, and resulting from changes in the qualitative and quantitative composition of flora and fauna in the rumen, have an effect on nitrogen and energy utilization in the ruminant.

Introduction.

Lasalocid is an ionophore that is widely used in avian husbandry because of its anticoccidian properties. Owing to the fact that it renders membranes permeable to the passage of mono- and divalent cations, its antimicrobial action
favourably modifies rumen bacterial and protozoal populations, thus making better use of feeds.

In fact, lasalocid, like other ionophores and antibiotics such as monensin and salinomycin, has been shown to increase propionic acid production and reduce methane formation in the rumin (Bartley et al., 1979; see review of Durand, 1982). These results could be due to the selection of bacteria producing succinate and fermenting lactate and the inhibition of those producing acetate, butyrate and lactate as end-products, and formiate and hydrogen as intermediary precursors to methane.

To our knowledge, these are the only facts available on the role of lasalocid in ruminant digestion. In particular, very little work has been done to quantify the modifications of microbial digestion induced by this product in the feed. This paper reports a study of the extent of digestion in different parts of the digestive tract and of the nature of the end-products of digestion in the rumen as a function of the amount of lasalocid given. We used sheep fed diets rich in highly-pressed, ensiled sugar beet pulp. The use of these diets to fatten cattle in beet-producing areas of France is steadily increasing.

Material and methods.

Animal feed and experimental design. — Four adult Texel sheep fitted with a rumen cannula and with simple cannulae at the duodenum and ileum were given successively 4 different feeds (L0, L1, L2, L3) according to a Latin-square design. During the last period, the control animal had to be replaced by another identical animal because it refused to eat properly.

The 4 respective diets studied contained 0(L0), 21(L1), 43(L2) and 64(L3) ppm of lasalocid sodium. The feed was made up of highly-pressed, ensiled sugar beet pulp (56.2 %), cereal (barley and maize 27.6 %), urea (1.5 %) and chopped wheat straw (14.1 %) (table 1). The feed rations were prepared daily and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ingredients</th>
<th>Intake (%)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fresh matter</td>
<td>Dry matter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highly-pressed and ensiled sugar beet pulp</td>
<td>86.4</td>
<td>56.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ground and pelleted corn</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ground and pelleted barley (1)</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>18.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chopped wheat straw</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>14.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urea</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minerals and vitamins</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Chemical analysis

Organic matter                                | 94.1
Nitrogen                                      | 2.4
P.D.I.E. (g/kg)                                | 100.0
P.D.I.N. (g/kg)                                | 100.2

(1) Containing 115, 232 or 348 ppm of lasalocid sodium.
given in two equal meals. The sheep had access to water and salt licks at all times.

The animals were fed *ad libitum* for the first 3 weeks; then they received an amount of feed corresponding to the intake of the animal that ate the least over that period. Food intake was controlled throughout the experiment. Water intake was controlled only during the last period.

Each experimental period lasted 6 weeks. It took the sheep 3 weeks to adapt to the diet. Digestibility measurements were taken during the 4th week. The digestive contents were sampled during the 5th week, and gas composition as well as cellulolytic activity were determined during the 6th week of each period.

Ten days prior to sampling, cellulose powder impregnated with chromic oxide (Cr$_2$O$_3$) (Tisserand *et al.*, 1962) was introduced directly into the rumen through the cannula at each feeding at the rate of 2.2 g of Cr$_2$O$_3$ per day.

**Sampling.**

*a*) Diet digestibility was determined by total faeces collection over an 8-day period. Part (50%) of the faeces excreted daily were dried for 48 h in a forced-air oven. A feed sample was taken daily and dried similarly.

*b*) Samples of the contents of the rumen (to determine bacterial $\alpha$-$\epsilon$ diamino-pimelic acid) and of the duodenum and ileum were taken every 6 h for 2 days, staggering the first sampling of the second day by 3 h (collection at 3, 9, 15, 21, 6, 12, 18 h and midnight). The digestive contents were collected in plastic containers and frozen immediately at $-20^\circ$C. A representative sample from each of the eight samples was pooled for each animal.

*c*) When the duodenal and ileal contents were collected, rumen liquor samples were taken to measure pH, VFA concentration and composition, ammonia nitrogen content, and to isolate representative bacterial samples. Samples were also taken at 1, 2 and 4 h after the morning meal to study postprandial changes in the digestion end-products. Rumen liquor samples were taken from the bottom of the rumen ventral pouch and filtered through a 1-mm$^2$ mesh metal screen. Ten milliliters of filtered liquor were mixed with 1 ml of additive (H$_3$PO$_4$ 5% + HgCl$_2$ 1%) to analyse VFA, and 5 ml of filtered liquor were added to 20 ml of a 12.5% NaCl solution to determine ammonia nitrogen. Rumen gas samples were taken at 0, 1, 4 and 6 h (Jouany and Senaud, 1979) after food intake.

*d*) Samples to measure the protozoal population were taken 1 h after the morning meal for 5 consecutive days. The protozoa were counted according to Jouany and Senaud (1982). Using a particle counter (Coulter Counter), non-food particle-associated bacteria were counted in a representative rumen liquor sample every 3 h for 24 h; 0.1 ml of filtered rumen liquor, to which three drops of 30% formalin had been added, was brought to a final volume of 10 ml with a 0.5-µ filtered saline solution, then stored at $+4^\circ$C. Lastly, the cellulolytic activity of rumen bacteria, measured by the nylon bag method (Jouany and Senaud, 1982), was determined by the weight loss of the wheat straw enclosed in the nylon bag that had remained inside the rumen for 48 h. Four bags were used per period per animal.
Chemical analysis. — Dry matter and ash in feed, faeces and duodenal and ileal contents were analysed by classical methods. Total nitrogen was determined (Kjeldahl method) on the fresh samples. Ammonia nitrogen was measured by the method of Conway. Chromic oxide was determined by the procedure of Mathieson (1970), modified to obtain a linear relationship between optical density and Cr₂O₃ concentration. The concentration and composition of VFA mixtures in the rumen were determined by gas-chromatography (Jouany, 1982), and rumen liquor ammonia nitrogen by the procedure of Berthelot (see Michel, 1971). Rumen gas samples were analysed by gas-chromatography (McArthur and Miltimore, 1961).

Bacterial nitrogen content in the duodenum was estimated by determining α-ε diaminopimelic acid in the duodenal contents and samples of rumen bacteria. The latter were obtained by centrifuging samples of the rumen content taken from the same animals simultaneously with the duodenal samples.

The amount of undegraded dietary nitrogen in the rumen, calculated as the difference between non-ammonia nitrogen and bacterial nitrogen content of the duodenal digesta, included protozoal and endogenous nitrogen. This is referred to as non-bacterial non-ammonia nitrogen (NBNAN). The truly digested organic matter in the rumen was estimated by adding the organic matter from the bacteria to the organic matter apparently digested in the rumen. The former was determined by the amount of bacterial nitrogen flowing at the duodenum, assuming that 1 g of bacterial nitrogen corresponded to 11.4 g of bacterial organic matter.

Rumen digestion and total digestion coefficients for organic matter and nitrogen, based on nutrient-indicator ratios, were corrected to represent 100 % recovery of Cr₂O₃. The data were analysed in a Latin square design by variance analysis (Snedecor and Cochran, 1971).

Results.

Effect of lasalocid on rumen digestion.

a) End-products of fermentation (table 2). — The presence of lasalocid in the feed resulted in an increased amount of propionic acid in the VFA mixture which was significant (p < 0.01) when the sheep were fed 43 and 64 ppm of lasalocid. This increase was around 14 % with the L1 diet (22 ppm) and reached almost 40 % with higher doses. The proportions of acetic and butyric acids lessened (table 2). The concentrations of other volatile fatty acids tended to decrease, particularly when the amount of lasalocid given exceeded 21 ppm, but the differences were significant only for valerianic acid (p < 0.05) and caproic acid (p < 0.01). The total volatile acid concentration of the rumen liquor also decreased significantly when the sheep were given 43 and 64 ppm of lasalocid. This drop was concomitant with a slight rise in pH noted with the experimental feeds.

The gas composition was greatly altered with diets containing 21 and 43 ppm of lasalocid (table 2). The methane fraction dropped 20 % and the CO₂/CH₄ ratio increased significantly. These differences were less with the L3 diet (64 ppm)
because the rumen gas composition in one of the 4 sheep was abnormal ($\text{CO}_2/\text{CH}_4 = 1.55$). If the data on this animal are discarded, the average values obtained on the other 3 sheep are close to those with the other two experimental diets.

Finally, lasalocid did not alter the mean value of rumen liquor ammonia nitrogen content (table 2). The drastic increase in ammoniogenesis after feeding can be attributed to fermentation of the ureic nitrogen in the feed. After that, ammoniogenesis decreased quicker with the control than with the experimental diets (fig. 1); this would probably indicate greater bacterial synthesis when the sheep did not receive any lasalocid.

b) Microbial population. — The population of ciliate protozoa in the rumen was considerably modified with lasalocid. The protozoa included the following main strains: *Entodinium sp.* and *Polyplastron multivesiculatum*. The *Polyplastron* genus decreased significantly ($p < 0.01$) (table 3) with lasalocid, irrespective of the dose. In contrast, *Entodinium sp.* was not affected by 21 ppm of lasalocid but decreased markedly ($p < 0.01$) when the dose was higher.

### TABLE 2

*Effect of lasalocid sodium on rumen fermentation (means of 10 measurements and standard-deviations).*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rumen VFA</th>
<th>0(L0)</th>
<th>21(L1)</th>
<th>43(L2)</th>
<th>64(L3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>total VFA (mmol/l)</td>
<td>74.2 ± 4.0&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>73.6 ± 15.0&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>71.2 ± 14.3&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>70.4 ± 10.5&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>acetic (molar %)</td>
<td>60.6 ± 6.1&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>59.0 ± 1.7&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>56.6 ± 2.9&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>55.7 ± 2.0&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>propionic (molar %)</td>
<td>23.2 ± 4.4&lt;sup&gt;A&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>26.6 ± 3.9&lt;sup&gt;A&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>32.3 ± 5.7&lt;sup&gt;B&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>32.5 ± 4.5&lt;sup&gt;B&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>butyric (molar %)</td>
<td>11.3 ± 0.9&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>10.0 ± 1.9&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>8.2 ± 2.5&lt;sup&gt;B&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>8.6 ± 2.4&lt;sup&gt;B&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>isobutyric (molar %)</td>
<td>0.45 ± 0.06&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.57 ± 0.07&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.32 ± 0.04&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.32 ± 0.05&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>valeric (molar %)</td>
<td>2.92 ± 0.73&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.20 ± 0.55&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.00 ± 0.49&lt;sup&gt;bc&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.05 ± 0.45&lt;sup&gt;bc&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>isovaleric (molar %)</td>
<td>1.45 ± 0.81&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.25 ± 0.76&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.50 ± 0.31&lt;sup&gt;B&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.45 ± 0.43&lt;sup&gt;B&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>caproic (molar %)</td>
<td>0.67 ± 0.09&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.26 ± 0.03&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.22 ± 0.04&lt;sup&gt;B&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.17 ± 0.02&lt;sup&gt;B&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>5.88 ± 0.17&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5.86 ± 0.16&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5.95 ± 0.22&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>6.00 ± 0.22&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{Eh (mV)}$</td>
<td>-392 ± 55&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-414 ± 37&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-402 ± 27&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-419 ± 32&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{CO}_2/\text{CH}_4$</td>
<td>2.23 ± 0.44&lt;sup&gt;A&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.75 ± 0.32&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.88 ± 0.38&lt;sup&gt;B&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.55 ± 0.41&lt;sup&gt;AB&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonia nitrogen (mg/l)</td>
<td>154 ± 58&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>187 ± 65&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>152 ± 50&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>150 ± 45&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Means with different superscripts are significantly different ($A, B : P < 0.01$; $a, b, c : P < 0.05$).

### TABLE 3

*Effect of lasalocid sodium on rumen microbial population (means and standard-deviations).*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rumen ciliates (10&lt;sup&gt;9&lt;/sup&gt;/ml)</th>
<th>0(L0)</th>
<th>21(L1)</th>
<th>43(L2)</th>
<th>64(L3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>— <em>Entodinium sp.</em></td>
<td>103.7 ± 13.9&lt;sup&gt;A&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>105.1 ± 13.2&lt;sup&gt;A&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>21.0 ± 10.9&lt;sup&gt;B&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5.2 ± 4.7&lt;sup&gt;B&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>— <em>Polyplastron multivesiculatum</em></td>
<td>13.0 ± 5.4&lt;sup&gt;A&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.45 ± 0.21&lt;sup&gt;B&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.55 ± 0.23&lt;sup&gt;B&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.57 ± 0.22&lt;sup&gt;B&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non food-particle associated bacteria</td>
<td>6.73 ± 0.77&lt;sup&gt;A&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>6.77 ± 0.53&lt;sup&gt;A&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>6.40 ± 1.40&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5.62 ± 1.08&lt;sup&gt;B&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cellulolytic bacteria activity (%)</td>
<td>24.8 ± 1.7&lt;sup&gt;A&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>27.8 ± 2.4&lt;sup&gt;B&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>26.4 ± 1.6&lt;sup&gt;B&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>27.7 ± 1.1&lt;sup&gt;B&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Means with different superscripts are significantly different ($A, B : P < 0.01$; $a, b, c : P < 0.05$).
Dietary lasalocid in the L3 diet (64 ppm) caused a significant drop in the number of non-food particle-associated bacteria ($p < 0.05$). Simultaneously with these quantitative modifications in the microbial population of the rumen, we observed a significant 10 % increase in the cellulolytic activity of the bacteria.

**Organic matter digestion** (table 4).

Lasalocid modified the extent and sites of the digestion of organic matter. Overall digestive utilization was lower with feeds that contained 43 and 64 ppm of lasalocid. The values were only significantly different from the control values with the diet containing a higher amount of lasalocid (L3).

This depressive effect of lasalocid occurred mainly in the forestomach since it was expressed as a 12 % decrease in the amount of organic matter truly digested in the rumen, whatever the lasalocid concentration in the diet (table 4). These results confirm the decrease in rumen liquor VFA concentration with lasalocid, which is significant only in the L2 and L3 diets (table 2), and cannot be attributed to increased VFA absorption since the rumen pH values did not decrease with lasalocid.

![Graph](image-url)  
*FIG. 1. — Effect of lasalocid on rumen ammonia concentration. L0 (No lasalocid); L1 (21 ppm of lasalocid); L2 (43 ppm of lasalocid); L3 (64 ppm of lasalocid).*
The digestion of organic matter was quantitatively depressed in the small intestine of sheep receiving lasalocid (table 4). This was due to the lowered digestion in the forestomach that supplies the small intestine with dietary organic matter. This supply of dietary organic matter was composed of components (table 1) rich in cell-wall carbohydrates and relatively poor in starch, explaining why it was poorly hydrolysed by intestinal enzymes. Organic matter digestibility was thus very much lower at the ileum (75.9, 74.2 and 72.3, respectively, in lasalocid-fed sheep as opposed to 81.6 % in the control animals). In the large intestine, part of the organic matter was fermented by the microflora, but with diets high in lasalocid, the breakdown was insufficient to compensate for the decrease in rumen digestion.

Nitrogen digestion (table 5).

Lasalocid, given at a concentration of 21 and 43 ppm in the feed, had no effect on nitrogen digestibility. At higher doses, its action was significantly depressive (p < 0.05) (70.4 instead of 76.8 %). It had no effect on nitrogen losses in the rumen which, moreover, were practically inexistente since the duodenal N/N intake ratio was nearly 1 in the four diets studied.

On the other hand, lasalocid significantly lowered bacterial synthesis in the rumen; bacterial nitrogen as a percentage of non-ammonia duodenal nitrogen was significantly depressed when lasalocid was given (63.1, 55.6 and 57.8 %, respectively, with the L1, L2 and L3 diets compared to 70.5 % with the control diet). Less bacterial nitrogen reached the small intestine as well. Consequently, the amount of undegraded dietary nitrogen in the rumen increased, and this increase was significant (p < 0.05) with diets containing 43 and 64 ppm of lasalocid. Finally, the efficiency of microbial synthesis (g of bacterial nitrogen/100 g of organic matter truly fermented in the rumen) was not signifi-
Duodenal flow of
— total N : g/day .................. 20.7 ± 0.9a 21.1 ± 0.6a 21.2 ± 0.7a 20.2 ± 1.7a
% of N intake .................. 100.0 ± 4.1a 99.0 ± 9.2a 100.9 ± 10.1a 107.9 ± 4.0a
— non-ammonia nitrogen (NAN) (g/d) . 20.1 ± 0.5a 19.7 ± 1.9a 20.5 ± 3.4a 20.8 ± 1.8a
— bacterial N (DAPA) : — g/day .......... 14.2 ± 1.5A 12.3 ± 0.6b 11.2 ± 0.8b 11.9 ± 0.5b
— % of NAN .................. 70.5 ± 6.4a 63.1 ± 9.4b 55.6 ± 5.5b 57.8 ± 6.0b
— dietary N (1) : — g/day .............. 5.9 ± 1.2A 7.4 ± 2.6a 9.2 ± 2.6b 8.6 ± 2.1b
— % of N intake .................. 28.6 ± 6.1a 35.1 ± 12.4a 43.5 ± 11.3b 42.5 ± 7.7b

Bacterial nitrogen in duodenum (kg/om OM truly fermented in rumen) .................. 21.9 ± 0.24ab 21.5 ± 0.11ab 19.1 ± 0.20a 22.5 ± 0.19b
NAN absorbed in small intestine (% of NAN entering duodenum) .................. 70.1 ± 3.4a 67.6 ± 2.2a 69.0 ± 6.4a 70.0 ± 2.4a
N digestibility (%) .................. 76.8 ± 3.0a 77.0 ± 2.4a 76.6 ± 8.4a 70.4 ± 1.7b

(1) Included protozoal and endogenous N.
Means with different superscripts are significantly different (A, B : P < 0.01 ; a, b, c : < 0.05).

cantly modified by lasalocid; it was 2.19, 2.15, 1.91 and 2.25 for the L0, L1, L2 and L3 diets, respectively.

In the small intestine, nitrogen digestibility was not altered by lasalocid, despite the different composition of the nitrogen fraction of the intestinal contents. Dietary nitrogen, mainly from cereal (prolamins), was probably not much more digestible than bacterial N, and the endogenous nitrogen portion was greater with the lasalocid diets since the amount of undegraded organic matter increased in the small intestine.

Discussion.

Lasalocid, like other ionophores or antibiotics, modifies microbial activity in the rumen considerably. Because it selects specific bacteria, it directs fermentation towards a greater production of propionic acid and less methane production. By inhibiting the development of other bacteria, it reduces the intensity of microbial synthesis and, consequently, limits feed protein breakdown. Our results demonstrate the dual action of lasalocid on energy and nitrogen utilization which will now be discussed.

The increase in the molar proportion of propionic acid in the VFA mixture as well as the drop in methane production are now well known and have been widely studied using lasalocid (Gutierrez et al., 1982; Herod et al., 1979; Horton and Stockdale, 1981; Ricke et al., 1981; Thonney et al., 1981), monensin (Durand, 1982; Fuller and Johnson, 1981; Jouany and Senaud, 1978; Nagaraja et al., 1981; Van Nevel and Demeyer, 1977), avoparcin (Chalupa et al., 1981) and salinomycin (McCure et al., 1980; Webb et al., 1980).

The results of the present study, obtained with animals fed a very different type of diet (rich in cell-wall carbohydrates) from those studied until now, confirm
previously published data obtained with concentrate diets and can be explained
by considerable modifications in the rumen ecosystem. The drastic effect of
lasalocid on ciliate protozoa in the rumen, as already noted with monensin
(Jouany and Senaud, 1978), has been demonstrated. It has also been shown that,
beyond a certain dose, lasalocid can alter the bacterial population both
quantitatively and qualitatively. Our results confirm other works (Brulla and Bryant,
1980; Chen Min and Wolin, 1979) showing that lasalocid (or monensin) selects
resistant strains such as Bacteroides that produce succinate and Selenomonas
which in turn decarboxylize the succinate, transforming it into propionate.
Generally speaking, lasalocid has a very antibacterial action (Durand, 1982). The
effect of lasalocid on the microbial population in the rumen is expressed as a
decrease in the amount of organic matter fermented and in bacterial protein
synthesis in the rumen. These results are similar to others (Muntifering et al.,
1981) noted in cattle fed cereal-rich diets (90 % corn) supplemented with 33 ppm
of monensin. However, modification of the bacterial population and the reduced
number of protozoa cannot alone explain these results. This is emphasized in our
study since neither the number of free bacteria in the rumen liquor nor the
cellulolytic activity of rumen bacteria was affected by lasalocid. The microbial
population was only significantly affected by doses equal to or higher than
43 ppm. Other mechanisms may be responsible for this decrease in rumen
digestion. We measured water intake during the last experimental period and
noted that lasalocid induced a strong increase in the amount of water consumed.
Water intake was 2.7, 3.0 and 4.0 times higher in sheep that received 21, 43 and
64 ppm of lasalocid, respectively, than in the controls. These observations
should be studied on a larger number of animals, but the increased water intake is
likely to lead to accelerated turnover of the liquid fraction in the rumen, especially
if the amount of salt (accessible at all times) ingested also increases (Harrison et
al., 1975). Lasalocid would thus play a part in limiting the development of the
microbial population. On the other hand, it could contribute to accelerating the
rate of digesta transit towards the small intestine, thus reducing feed digestion in
the rumen.

The lower digestion of organic matter in the rumen could be compensated
for by greater digestion in the small intestine and/or the large intestine. As
previously stated, with the type of diet we used, the cell-wall carbohydrate
fraction of the dietary organic matter that is not degraded in the rumen cannot be
hydrolysed in the small intestine. It can be hydrolysed in the large intestine, and
the depressive effect of lasalocid partially or totally disappears according to the
dose. The extent to which compensation occurs would then be definitely a
function of the amount of organic matter reaching the large intestine and of the
lasalocid concentration of digesta which, if too high, could again reduce microbial
activity in the large intestine. With a high cereal diet containing lasalocid, more
starch would escape rumen fermentation and be digested in the small intestine, as
shown by Muntifering et al. (1981) using monensin. This would be advantageous
for improving the utilization of cereal-rich diets in the ruminant since glucose from
the intestinal hydrolysis of starch is better utilized than the VFA supplied by
rumen fermentation. Thus, it is difficult to foresee the effect of lasalocid on the
overall digestion of organic matter since it will be both a function of the diet type and of the dose. However, the digestion of organic matter does not seem to decrease as long as the dose given does not exceed 50 ppm (Berger et al., 1981; Geay, unpublished data; Horton and Stockdale, 1981). Beyond this dose, a significantly depressive effect has been shown, but further work is required using other diets containing different amounts of starch and cell-wall carbohydrates.

Lasalocid modifies microbial activity in the rumen and thus lowers the proportion of dietary nitrogen degraded there, allowing the animal to make better use of dietary proteins. But the antimicrobial effect of lasalocid can be a disadvantage if the diet given is rich in non-protein nitrogen because the utilization of this nitrogen in microbial synthesis then decreases. Lastly, lasalocid was found to have a depressive effect on nitrogen digestion only with the L3 diet (64 ppm); this decrease was probably due to greater faecal nitrogen excretion caused by an increase in the fermentation of organic matter in the large intestine.

Conclusion.

Lasalocid generally has a favourable effect in the ruminant, modifying energy and nitrogen digestion to make better use of the feed. The increased production of propionic acid and the decreased formation of methane in the rumen, as well as the increase in the amount of starch digested as glucose in the small intestine, all bring about improved utilization of dietary energy. These digestive manipulations are due to a modification in microbial rumen activity under the influence of lasalocid. This activity is generally low, leading to a decrease in bacterial synthesis and thus in the amount of bacterial nitrogen that reaches the duodenum. The proportion of undegraded dietary nitrogen in the rumen increases; this could be of particular interest when using protein feed sources that are well-balanced in essential amino acids. In contrast, too great a reduction in microbial activity would be detrimental to the utilization of non-protein nitrogen. It could limit the use of lasalocid in diets with a high soluble nitrogen content (more than 40 to 50% of the total nitrogen). All these digestive modifications, including the inhibitory effect of lasalocid on lactic formation (Nagaraja et al., 1982) (which reduces the risk of acidosis) and on the development of coccidia in the digestive tract (Foreyt et al., 1981), lead to the conclusion that this ionophore antibiotic can be used profitably in ruminant feeding, provided that it is totally harmless to the animal and to the consumer.

On the whole, these results indicate that, with the feed used, the optimal dose of lasalocid should not exceed 45 to 50 ppm. Beyond this dose, lasalocid can have a negative effect, decreasing the digestibility of organic matter and nitrogen. These results generally corroborate other work, but the effects of lasalocid have been found to depend on the diet given (Geay, unpublished data), and the optimal dose is also a function of this parameter.
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Résumé. Action du lasalocide de sodium sur les fermentations du rumen et la digestion chez le mouton.

Quatre moutons adultes ont reçu successivement 4 régimes suivant un schéma en carré latin. Les animaux portaient des canules du rumen et des canules simples au niveau du duodénum et de l’iléon.

Au régime de base (L0), constitué de pulpes de betteraves suprpressées et ensilées (56,2 %), de céréales (orge et maïs, 27,6 %), d’urée (1,5 %), de paille de blé haché (14,1 %), on a ajouté du lasalocide de sodium pour obtenir des doses finales de 21 ppm (régime L1), 43 ppm (régime L2) et 64 ppm (régime L3) (tabl. 1).

Dans le rumen, la présence de lasalocide a significativement augmenté la proportion molaire de l’acide propionique dans le mélange des acides gras volatils, aux dépens des cellules des acides acétique et butyrique ; la concentration totale des AGV a diminué surtout avec les doses 43 et 64 ppm (tabl. 2). Correlativement la proportion de méthane dans les gaz du rumen a diminué. La population des ciliés a été systématiquement plus faible chez les animaux recevant les régimes expérimentaux L1, L2 et surtout L3. La population des bactéries libres a également diminué ; le seuil de signification a été atteint avec la dose la plus forte (L3). Dans le même temps, l’activité cellulolytique des bactéries a été accrue de 10 %, ce qui traduit des modifications qualitatives de la population bactérienne du rumen (tabl. 3).

L’utilisation digestive globale de la matière organique (MO) des rations qui a été diminuée en présence de lasalocide, s’explique surtout par une réduction importante de la digestion dans les préestomacs (12 %) (tabl. 4). L’apport plus important de MO particulièrement riche en glucides pariétiaux avec ces régimes dans le duodénum justifie la diminution de la digestibilité dans l’intestin grêle des animaux recevant les régimes L1 et surtout L2 et L3. Il n’y a pas de compensation significative de la digestion au niveau du gros intestin.

La composition de l’azote non ammoniacal entrant dans le duodénum des moutons nourris avec les régimes expérimentaux est différente de celle observée avec le régime témoin (L0) (tabl. 3) : la quantité de protéines microbiennes est significativement plus faible, alors que la part de protéines alimentaires non dégradées dans le rumen est plus importante. L’efficacité de la synthèse microbienne (g protéines bactériennes/kg MO réellement digérée dans le rumen) n’est pas significativement modifiée par la présence de lasalocide.

L’ensemble des changements observés sur la digestion de ces régimes, dus à la présence de lasalocide, s’expliquent par des modifications dans la composition qualitative et quantitative de la population microbienne du rumen. Les répercussions sur l’utilisation de l’azote et de l’énergie des rations sont importantes.
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