Feeding and circadian clocks
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Abstract – The mammalian genome encodes at least a dozen of genes directly involved in the regulation of the feedback loops constituting the circadian clock. The circadian system is built up on a multitude of oscillators organized according to a hierarchical model in which neurons of the suprachiasmatic nuclei of the hypothalamus may drive the central circadian clock and all the other somatic cells may possess the molecular components allowing tissues and organs to constitute peripheral clocks. Suprachiasmatic neurons are driving the central circadian clock which is reset by lighting cues captured and integrated by the melanopsin cells of the retina and define the daily rhythms of locomotor activity and associated physiological regulatory pathways like feeding and metabolism. This central clock entrains peripheral clocks which can be synchronized by non-photic environmental cues and uncoupled from the central one depending on the nature and the strength of the circadian signal. The human circadian clock and its functioning in central or peripheral tissues are currently being explored to increase the therapeutic efficacy of timed administration of drugs or radiation, and to offer better advice on lighting and meal timing useful for frequent travelers suffering from jet lag and for night workers’ comfort. However, the molecular mechanism driving and coordinating the central and peripheral clocks through a wide range of synchronizers (lighting, feeding, physical or social activities) remains a mystery.
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Convention: For a better understanding, genes are written in italics, proteins in capitals.

1. INTRODUCTION

Light/dark cycles have rhythmed the life on Earth and created the selective conditions to integrate circadian rhythms in a genetic metabolic network functioning with a 24-h period of oscillations and called the circadian clock (or circadian oscillator). This clock synchronizes the circadian rhythmicity of some procaryotes (Cyanobacteria) and of plant or animal eucaryotes from the cellular level to the organism [1–3]. The mammalian circadian system consists of at least two major oscillator systems, one entrainable by light called the central clock and located in the suprachiasmatic nuclei (SCN), and another by food which is anatomically and functionally distinct but of unknown location. The circadian system is built up on a multitude of oscillators organized according to a hierarchical model in which neurons of the suprachiasmatic nuclei of the hypothalamus may drive the central circadian clock...
and all the other somatic cells may possess the molecular components allowing tissues and organs to constitute peripheral clocks. At the molecular level, oscillators are molecules that are interacting to create a pacemaker. The pacemaker is an internal mechanism, which keeps time for the circadian clock by either coordinating or constructing rhythms (i.e. patterns of reoccurring events which can be loosely thought of as cycles).

In physiology, the circadian clock also called the circadian oscillator, is an internal device that keeps the body’s time by driving and (or) coordinating a circadian rhythm. The concept has been integrated in the definition of homeostasis which is not only the capacity of a living structure to withstand and adapt its internal conditions to progressive or sudden changes of the environment but also to anticipate the occurrence of reoccurring events (i.e. reactive homeostasis; [4, 5]). The human circadian clock and its functioning in central or peripheral tissues are currently explored to increase the therapeutic efficacy of timed administration of drugs or radiation [6], and to offer better advice on lighting and meal timing useful for frequent travelers suffering from jet lag [7, 8] and for night workers’ comfort.

This review presents the influence of feeding (temporal window of food access, meal frequency and composition) on the regulation of central and peripheral clocks.

2. CIRCADIAN CLOCKS: A CENTRAL CLOCK TUNING THE NETWORK OF PERIPHERAL CLOCKS OR EQUIVALENT CLOCKS RUNNING LIKE A WEB?

Somatic cells express the molecular components of circadian oscillators both in vivo and under artificial conditions of culture. These oscillators are able to integrate environmental cues called synchronizers (also entraining agent, time signal or Zeitgeber built on the German Zeit (time) and geber (giver)). Environmental cues with a circadian periodicity can entrain the circadian clockwork through sensory transducers relaying the signal to synchronize all the individual oscillators (Fig. 1). As demonstrated by modeling synchronization and rhythmicity of the circadian clock, stable synchronization depends on the synchronizer strength (its amplitude), if it is too weak there is no synchronization, if it is too strong the system loses its synchronization [9] and entrainment of an oscillatory system by another implies a gating process [10] but its biological means remain unknown.

Experimental recording of entrained circadian rhythms is highly dependent on both the strength of the synchronizer and the gating of the subjects’ circadian systems. As shown by tissue culture and in vivo experiments, the inputs of the molecular clock are very diverse physico-chemical cues acting as synchronizers on signaling cascades integrated by period genes [11] and ranging from heat pulses in culture medium to the sudden exposure of cells to high serum concentration over 2 h [12]. However, the main in vivo synchronizer is believed to be the alternation of light and dark and many experiments have been realized on lighting and its capacity to drive circadian rhythms.

The duration of application of a synchronizer depends on the entrainment capacity of the oscillator (i.e. its intrinsic period and distribution around 24 h). It is now considered to be between 1 and 2 h after in vivo experiments on the circadian wheel-running activity rhythm of hamsters presented with single or double light pulses [13] and on in vitro experiments on SCN neurons [14]. There are striking differences between the phase response curves to lighting of nocturnal mammals which have a dead zone for photoactivation.
Figure 1. Relations between feeding and the circadian clocks. The central circadian oscillator has been evidenced in the suprachiasmatic nuclei [144] and called the central clock but the surgical deletion of these SCN changes does not always completely abolish the circadian rhythm of food intake, nor of central core temperature indicating that the anatomical location of the control centers driving these activities are still unknown [82, 145–148]. Central and peripheral circadian clocks are entrained by external synchronizers allowing the organisms to react and anticipate reoccurring environmental variations with a circadian periodicity. Among these synchronizers, the light/dark cycles play a crucial role to entrain behavior and physiology of any mammal. Lighting cues are captured and integrated by melanopsin cells of the retina [149] which reset the central clock located in the suprachiasmatic nuclei and according to the diurnal or nocturnal nature of the mammalian species. The temporal windows of food access and the composition of the meal are considered synchronizers as powerful as the sun light [102, 103] and studies in circadian clock mutant mice have shown that the circadian clock network plays an important role in mammalian energy balance [128]. Feeding implies the frequency of food intake (experimentally defined as the frequency and the time or the temporal window of food access) and the nature of nutrients (the composition of food with directly metabolizable nutrients like D-glucose, fatty acids, L-glutamin or complex foods needing a digestive process by intestinal enzymes of the host or the resident microflora of the large intestine). The central clock has been found unresponsive to a temporal access of food in contrast with peripheral clocks like the one in the liver [112]. A hypocaloric ration was found able to entrain the central clock [125] as well as D-glucose [105] but more data are needed to allow an analysis of peripheral clocks functioning under these different foods. There are no data on the synchronizing effect of complex foods which are degraded into smaller compounds by digestive enzymes of the host or its microflora. The expression of clock components by cells of the digestive tract is progressively being demonstrated but the existence of a main peripheral clock driven by food, as crucial as the one located in the SNC, has not yet received any experimental demonstration.

during the subjective day and of diurnal mammals, like humans, which have no dead zone and can be entrained by light pulses during both subjective night and day [15, 16]. As a whole, the circadian rhythmicity of the organism relies on a multitude of oscillators with a period close to but different from 24 h. In that respect, organs and tissues are tuning each cellular oscillator to constitute its own peripheral
clocks. However, the cell lineage providing the sensory transducers and the cellular pacemakers are unknown except for the central one (Fig. 1). In addition to circadian rhythms, there are other biological rhythms which are called ultradian by reference to cycles that are shorter than 20 h (i.e. the beating of the heart) and infradian which are cycles that are greater than 28 h (i.e. seasonal reproduction).

In this section, we will present the regulation of clock genes, the ontogenesis of SCN circadian rhythmicity (i.e. central clock) and the peripheral clockworks to underline the difficulties to demonstrate any influence of feeding on the circadian rhythms.

### 2.1. Genes on which circadian clocks are running

The mammalian genome encodes at least a dozen of genes directly involved in the regulation of the feedback loops constituting the circadian clock. The positive limb of the loop is driven by two transcription factors, CLOCK ([17, 18]; or a close analog called NPAS2 [19]) and BMAL1 [18, 20] which are basic Helix-Loop-Helix proteins with a PER-ARNT-SIM (PAS) domain; the equilibrium being post-translationally regulated by small ubiquitin modified proteins on BMAL1 through CLOCK-induced activity [21]. A first negative limb involves the protein regulators PERIOD 1 [22, 23], PERIOD 2 [24, 25] or PERIOD 3 [26]. These proteins do not directly bind to DNA but they have a PAS domain to build complexes with CRYPTOCHROME 1 or 2 [27–29]. Other proteins like NONO and WDR5 are able to modulate PERIOD activity [30]. The proteins CASEIN KINASE I epsilon or delta are involved in the turn-over of PERIOD molecules by phosphorylating these proteins and regulating their nuclear translocation [31–36]. A second negative limb involves members of the retinoic acid-related orphan nuclear receptors, RORA [37] and REV-ERB-ALPHA [38], which repress and modulate bmal1 expression. An additional loop of regulation driven by two Differentiated Embryon Chronodrocyte (DEC) proteins [39–41] has been proposed. These oscillator systems control the activity of clock-controlled-genes that function in the rate-limiting steps of various biological pathways [42–46].

In the absence of any environmental cue, the PERIOD2 protein is believed to reboost the circadian cycle by stimulating the transcription of bmal1 gene leading to the dimerization with CLOCK and to the reinduction of a new circadian cycle [47–54]. The circadian regulation of the period2 gene has also been linked in vivo to tumor suppression and DNA damage response [55] or the regulation of bone formation by leptin [56]. Period1 and 2 genes are molecular candidates to study the adaptive response of the cellular metabolism with environmental stressors and they have been associated with the signaling pathway allowing a cell to anticipate and integrate changes in its normal environment.

In that respect, the promoter of the period1 gene may be a sensor and an integrator of physiological variations in the organism with the aim to adjust the clock to the environment [57, 58]. Recently, Yamamoto et al. [59] showed that the promoter of the period1 gene contains at least a glucocorticoid-responsive element along with E-boxes for the binding of CLOCK:BMAL1 heterodimers related to the rhythmic expression of transcripts and with cAMP-responsive elements for period1 transient expression. The regulation of period1 gene is considered crucial for a quick adaptation to variations of environmental parameters [30, 60] and may be modulated by food intake [61].
2.2. Circadian clockwork of suprachiasmatic nuclei: ontogenesis and role as the central clock

The oscillator located in the suprachiasmatic nuclei (SCN; [144]) may be the center of the network and the unique oscillator entrainable by light/dark cycles. Observations realized on brain sections of human fetuses or born-dead and on newborns of primates suggest that there is a neuronal zone organized as suprachiasmatic nuclei fully functional at midgestation [62]. Likewise circadian rhythmicity has been detected in the fetus of the ewe by 2-deoxy-glucose incorporation [63]. The fetus (and consequently the neonate) may be entrained not only by maternal signals induced by light/dark cycles but also by the rhythmicity of nutrient supplies from the mother which may entrain rhythmic variations of blood parameters, of locomotor activities and of rectal body temperature [64].

In the mouse, neurons sensitive to light stimuli received by the retina are located in the ventrolateral SCN but the photic signal integration and the transmission of a circadian signal by the central clock is a complex process which may as well imply a second population of neurons nonsensitive to light and located in the dorsomedian SCN [65]. The oscillating system of these neurons may be synchronized by other cues, the central clock delivering a signal integrating the information from the two other neuronal populations. During the murine development as early as at the embryonic day 19, all clock genes \((\text{period}1, \text{period}2, \text{cryptochrome1, bmall, clock})\) are expressed and circadian rhythmicity has been found at postnatal day 3 for \((\text{period}1, \text{period}2, \text{cryptochrome1, bmall})\) but not \(\text{clock}\), the circadian rhythmicity of the latter being detected only at postnatal day 10 [66].

Studies on rat neonates and premature humans propose two main synchronizers: the light/dark cycles driving the suprachiasmatic nuclei oscillator and a synchronizer related to feeding and delayed for 12 h. Molecular data obtained on murine embryos are in favor of the hypothesis that Mammal babies are born with a functional circadian system but have to tune their clocks with environmental synchronizers. As proposed by Weinert [64], the ontogenic development of the circadian system can be modified or imprinted by changing environmental conditions, the main consequence being on nursing of preterm infants who are reared in the relatively “timeless” conditions of neonatal intensive care nursery. However, direct comparisons between laboratory mammals and humans are difficult because of divergent milk compositions, maternal care or maturity of the babies. A clear-cut example is the acquisition of circadian rhythms driven by light/dark cycles. The babies of rats or mice born with closed eyelids remain relatively immature up to eyes opening in contrast with piglets or human babies born with open eyes that are considered as more mature.

In the adult, the two SCN exhibit rhythms in the uptake of 2-deoxyglucose, a marker of metabolic activity, in electrical activity, in spontaneous as well as light-induced expression of immediate early genes, namely c-fos, a marker of neuronal activity, in the production of many peptides (e.g. of arginine vasopressin) and other rhythms [67]. The SCN are also driving the circadian regulation of D-glucose and insulin plasma levels [68]. Peripheral clocks may be entrained by signals issued by the SCN but could be uncoupled under conflictual conditions to follow the entrainement of synchronizers more relevant to their homeostasis.

2.3. Properties of peripheral clocks

In contrast with the central clock, the peripheral clocks could not be entrained
by sun light [69–71] but are under the
dependence of the central clock to per-
ceive the photic signal through humoral
factors like glucocorticoids [72–74], trans-
forming growth factor alpha [75, 76] or
prokineticin-2 [77]. However, the demon-
stration that the release of TGF-alpha or
prokineticin-2 from the suprachiasmatic
region may entrain the peripheral clock
is still lacking. The phase of peripheral
clocks is delayed with the central clock,
however, the peripheral clocks are not syn-
chronized, each one displaying its own
rhythmicity specific of the tissue [78] en-
trainable by the environmental cues differ-
ent from the one provided by the central
clock [79]. For example, the expression of
period1 by rat fibroblasts is 4 to 8 h delayed
with the central clock [80].

Surgical deletion of SCN and of sur-
rounding brain regions alters but does not
always completely abolish the circadian
rhythm of food intake, nor the central core
temperature [82, 145–148] indicating that
up to now the anatomical location of the
control centers driving these activities are
still unknown. In addition, rats with sur-
gical ablation of the SCN have an altered
genetic expression of clock genes in the
liver with a strong dampening or a total
abolition of gene products coding for key
metabolic pathways, cellular structural el-
ments or elements involved in vesicular
transport [45, 81]. However, the rhythmic
expression of genes implicated in the oscil-
lating system (period2, bmal1) persist
even after this kind of lesion [45]. Thus,
there is not only a single central clock but
a network of circadian clocks, with the pe-
ripheral clocks being coupled to the central
one but all retaining the capacity to un-
couple with one another depending on the
nature and strength of the synchronizers.

The existence of only one central clock
is debated [82–84] suggesting that there is
another clock able to receive the feeding
signal, to integrate and dispatch the out-
come to the rest of the organism and feed-
back on central clock functioning. How-
ever the existence of such a clock driven by
feeding, as crucial as the one located in the
SNC, is hampered by the scarcity of works
on the characterization of clock com-
ponds in the digestive tract. The presence
of clock components has been demon-
strated in the digestive tract (oral mu-
cosa [85], liver [26, 86] and pancreas [87].
We have shown that the main molecular
components (period1, period2, clock) of an
intestinal clock are expressed by colono-
cytes of healthy human donors as well as
by colon carcinoma cells [88, 89]. Many
rhythms which could be controlled by cir-
cadian clocks are observed in the intestine
mainly cellular proliferation [90, 91], mi-
gration [92] or apoptosis [93]. Circadian
activities in the intestine are well known
and concern the food intake, digestion
(and associated enzyme activities), cellular
proliferation, migration of epithelial cells
along the physiological unit like crypts or
villus, and apoptosis. Several genes have
been described as regulated according to a
circadian rhythmicity [2]: sodium/glucose
cotransporter implicated in the absorption
of dietary glucose and galactose, two tran-
scription factors (hepatocyte nuclear fac-
tors HNF-1 alpha and beta), and uroguany-
lyl and guanyline which are intestinal
receptors for guanylyl cyclase. Up to now,
the liver has been the organ of choice to
study peripheral clock functioning (Fig. 1)
but a better understanding of circadian
rhythms also implies integrating complex
tissue architecture and interfacing with the
external environment as in the lung or gut.

In summary, biological circadian oscil-
lators are characterized by a stable
oscillatory period of around 24 h and en-
trainability (the sensitivity of the oscillation’s phase to periodic cue i.e. gating).
Limit cycle oscillations are stable to mi-
nor perturbations of regulator concentra-
tions and they persist over time even when
the organism is not subjected to periodic
driving forces (e.g. light exposure, feeding
rhythms). However, circadian rhythmicity is also dependent on a lot of individual parameters like sex [94], adolescence status [95] or aging which has been shown to shorten the circadian period of older subjects in laboratory rodents [96, 97] but not in humans according to a study realized in fully controlled conditions on 11 young volunteers (23.7 years) and 13 old volunteers (67.4 y) concluding that aging did not significantly modify the mean circadian periodicity of 24.18 h [98]. In addition, clocks must be buffered against changes in temperature which typically speed up or slow down macromolecular association but the mechanism of such a regulation is still unknown [99–101]. Part of the positive and negative masking effects of circadian rhythms driven by feeding have been addressed with rodents by taking advantage of the nocturnal nature of rats and mice which can be bred in constant darkness. However environmental cues are always interacting in the real world and it would be crucial to appreciate the resulting influence of the environment on the subjects’ well-being. That means producing the catalogue of stromal cell lineages with their clock compound profiles, understanding the molecular regulation of clock genes and identifying, if any, cellular transducers and pacemakers.

3. SYNCHRONIZATION OF CIRCADIAN CLOCKS BY FEEDING

The hypothesis that feeding would be a synchronizer as powerful as light/dark cycles relies on many empirical observations realized on breeding units of laboratory rodents [102, 103] or on studies on consumers [104]. The water access, bulking effect (or capacity to induce satiety; [105]) or smell [106] are not considered as synchronizers and the influence of social activities on circadian rhythms are still being discussed [107].

By definition, feeding implies the frequency of food intake (experimentally defined as the temporal window of food access in or out of phase with the nocturnal or diurnal nature of the species including or not a fasting period) and the nature of nutrients (the composition of food with directly metabolizable nutrients like D-glucose, fatty acids, L-glutamin or complex foods needing a digestive process by intestinal enzymes or microflora of the large intestine). A simple cybernetic point of view can help to simplify the data in the field (Fig. 1). Feeding is not only a question of metabolizable energy, minerals, vitamins and growth factors provided at the right time and frequency, it also carries some information with different meanings decoded at the cellular genome level.

Experimenters have explored both sides of the problem and in the next section we will present the effects of temporal windows of food access and meal composition.

3.1. The synchronizing effect of temporal windows of food access

Rhythms of food intake present a high capacity to synchronize the rhythmicity of behaviors as well as the oscillating system of the peripheral clocks. In rats maintained in constant darkness or different lighting conditions, various circadian rhythms like the locomotor activity and the body temperature cycles are synchronized by the phase of food access [108, 109]. In addition the rhythmic expression of genes from the oscillating system (period1, 2, 3 and cryptochrome 1, rev-erb-alpha) and of output genes (like dbp) is reinitialized in the different organs and tissues but not in SCN [79, 87, 110, 111]. After such a nutritional restriction, the phase delay is complete for period1 expression in the liver of transgenic rats and only partial in the
lungs or non-existent in the SCN [112] suggesting a local effect or a tissue-specific effect of the synchronizer (Fig. 1). In addition, a “de-afferentiation” by capsaicin (blocking of the parasympathetic system) did not abolish and did not alter the locomotor activity before a meal [113]. This uncoupling between central and peripheral rhythmicities, induced by nutrition, is founded on a study of mutated mice for the clock gene. These mice, maintained in constant darkness and fed with a restricted access to food, have a persistent altered locomotor activity, with a locomotor activity dependent of the SCN (consequently of the dark/light cycle) becoming arrhythmic [114]. These observations are in favor of a rapid and highly specific synchronization. This synchronization may imply organs or tissues directly entrained by the environmental cues like a nutritional stimulus influencing gut functioning. The phase of peripheral clocks can be modulated and totally uncoupled with the central clock through feeding (Fig. 1). Works in favor of the modulation of peripheral circadian clocks by feeding have been initiated on livers of transgenic rats maintained under nutritional restriction and have shown that hepatocytes are able to uncouple their circadian clocks of signals issued by the central clock, rephasing their oscillations on the rhythmicity of another synchronizer: the temporal window of food access [112].

In this study, transgenic rats had integrated the mouse period1 promoter linked to a luciferase reporter. The rhythmic expression of this so-called clock gene has been easily monitored in explant cultures of different organs (SCN, lung, liver) and related with the wheel-running activity of rats. Restricted feeding consists of 4 and 8-h time windows of food-access. The central clock (SCN) has been found unresponsive to a temporal access of food in contrast to peripheral clocks like the one in the liver [112]. Nevertheless, Miki et al. [115] have studied the total parenteral nutrition of rats suggesting that there is some feedback effect on the central clock. Indeed, while parenteral nutrition is given during the day in the rat, the period2 expression is phase-advanced, in the liver as well as in the suprachiasmatic nuclei. This study is crucial because the nutritional intake is provided under free-running conditions, without external cues like visual perception or smell.

In humans, studies give contradictory results. In 1973, Reinberg et al. [116] showed that people working in moving working hours (3 × 8 in rapid rotation) but with fixed meal times, had for those working at night, delayed peaks of plasmatic catecholamines or serotonin of more than 4 h with the day workers. More recently, Iraki et al. [117] and Bogdan et al. [118] showed that people following the Ramadan rite (meals are consumed at night for around a month) had an altered circadian profile for a lot of parameters like rates of insulin, glucose, calcium, gastrin but also of prolactin, cortisol, and melatonin. Nevertheless, a single meal containing high carbohydrate levels consumed either in the morning or in the evening has been found without an effect on the rhythm of melatonin suggesting that this temporal food restriction does not have enough synchronizing properties to modify the phase of the central clock [119]. In addition, chronic feeding of food with melatonin enhances the phase shifting response to triazolam in both young and old golden hamsters [120].

In our opinion, the main contribution in the field is the demonstration that feeding cycles in transgenic rats can entrain the liver clock independently of the suprachiasmatic nuclei [112]. However, Mendoza et al. [121] showed in mice that central circadian rhythmicity is partly influenced by feeding.

To summarize, animal studies are in favor of the hypothesis that there are at least two (or more) main circadian clocks integrating either photic signals or nutritional
cues from the feeding rhythms but human studies on the synchronization of circadian rhythms by the frequency and times of meals are only at their beginning. Other works are trying to correlate the composition of meals with the circadian rhythms of physiology and behavior or to study the effects of metabolites with the advantage to relate molecular data on clock gene regulation with cellular catabolism and anabolism to provide a more integrated view of physiology and behavior.

3.2. The synchronizing effect of the energetic value of meals

Beside the influence of food intake rhythms, the energetic value may be implicated in the modulation of circadian rhythms [122,123] even if, according to experiments on mice fed ad libitum, the light-entrainable pacemaker controls the period of the food-entrainable pacemaker [122]. The influence of the species’ behavior has also been studied by comparing Syrian and Siberian hamsters suggesting that metabolic cues may directly influence the SCN [124]. In rodents, a caloric restriction triggers a phase advance of the altered locomotor activity, of hormonal peaks (melatonin, corticosterones), of body temperature [125] as well as the profile of night activity [122, 124, 126]. In addition, the composition of food in terms of caloric value has been found relevant to synchronize rats receiving chow as a meal. A threshold value of 22 kcal (92 kJ) has been proposed for a synchronizing effect of food on feeding of an entrained circadian oscillator; [105]. The influence of a hypocaloric food has also been studied by reducing the daily intake from 5 g of chow per day to 3.3 g of chow per day distributed at Zeitgeber Time 2, 10, 14, 22 (ZT 12 = lights off) recording phase advanced in the nocturnal pattern of activity by 1, 3, 1 and 1 h [122]. By using Clock mutant mice, Challet et al. [127] showed that these animals are responsive to nonphotic phase-shifting leading the way to a recent demonstration that the energy balance of a meal can be influenced by the circadian clock and responsible in part for obesity [128].

The demonstration of the relations between feeding and circadian clocks has not been simplified with microarray analyses of mammalian cells. According to divergent publications, only 10% of the eucaryote transcriptome is believed to be clock-dependent [67, 129] leaving few if any metabolic pathways out of the control of clockwork but indicating that experimenters have to take into account both qualitative and quantitative variations of hundreds of transcripts with tissue specific profiles. In the rat, fasting triggers a modification of the rhythmic expression of 325 genes on 516 genes studied in the liver [78]. Thus even though a direct implication of circadian clock genes is not easy to unmask, these studies are in favor of a link between caloric restriction, circadian clocks, and physiological modifications.

Beyond the rhythms of food intake and the energetic value of a meal, D-glucose may have directly or indirectly an action on the regulation of the circadian clocks. Indeed, on SCN lesionized rats, the altered locomotor activity is delayed while the meal is replaced with D-glucose but this parameter is not modified if the meal is substituted by vegetal or mineral oil or by saccharine [123]. D-glucose may be directly implicated in the modulation of the circadian clocks since the reinitialization of the phase of locomotor activity by light in the mouse is attenuated with a reduced availability of D-glucose [130]. D-glucose was studied by intraperitoneal injection in order to directly study the extracellular concentration of glucose in the brain. Challet et al. [130] showed that glucose availability attenuates circadian responses to light in mice. Glucose utilization was
blocked by 2-Deoxy-Glucose (0.4 M (or 550 mg.kg⁻¹) of glucose and 2DG) or 2 g glucose per kg by intraperitoneal injection. The effect of 30 h fasting and insulin injection have also been studied in parallel groups of mice.

Metabolites like D-glucose are both cellular fuel used to produce ATP and signaling molecules binding DNA on response elements to modulate gene transcription; the concentration and its variation are coding the message and correspond to the input synchronizer strength. By taking into account the metabolic status of the cell, i.e. the redox potential of NAD(H) and NADP(H) cofactors (Nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (phosphate)), it may be possible to explain how the nutrients, and in particular D-glucose, could be implicated in the regulation of the circadian clocks. Cellular catabolism of dietary D-glucose implies energy. This energy is provided by the oxidation of NAD(P)H cofactors and the liver NADH/NADPH ratios have the strongest in vivo circadian oscillations. In this model, the binding capacity of the CLOCK:BMAL1 complex to the DNA would be regulated by the redox status of NAD(H) and NADP(H) cofactors, the reduced form potentializing this binding and the oxidized one reducing it and the balance controlling the expression of clock controlled genes [131, 132]. A direct influence of NO, a known vasodilator, has been found on the fixation of the CLOCK:BMAL1 on the E boxes distributed along DNA molecules both in the suprachiasmatic nuclei and in the external neural system. This fixation is under the influence of the NAD cofactors (i.e. their ratios) which are under the influence of oxygen and the metabolism of D-glucose. As a consequence, the D-glucose supply may have an inhibiting effect on the CLOCK:BMAL1 complex and could alter circadian clockwork.

In addition, metabolites like D-glucose are able to bind directly to DNA molecules in specific regions called response elements to modulate the transcription of some genes. The demonstration has been realized in vitro on cell lines of fibroblastic origin [133] and a similar effect of glucose on period genes have been reproduced on colon carcinoma cells [134].

In summary, a hypocaloric ration was found to entrain the central clock [125] as well as D-glucose [105] but more data are needed to allow an analysis of peripheral clocks functioning under different compositions of foodstuffs. There are no data on the synchronizing effect of complex foods which are degraded in smaller compounds by digestive enzymes of the host or its microflora. In addition, the influence of feeding on circadian rhythms may be tissue specific [78] with a strong influence on the clocks of the organs implied in the digestive process. Implication of nutrients (like short-chain fatty acids, the main energetic source for colonocytes [135] or L-glutamine, the main energetic source for the enterocyte [136]) in the tissular regulation of peripheral circadian clocks are yet to be explored.

4. PERSPECTIVES

The circadian clocks play a crucial role in the integration of various environmental cues (light, feeding, physical and social activities) or in the control of vital processes (cell division cycle, differentiation, apoptosis) and it may become possible to prove the existence of local and specialized circadian clocks in the processing and transmission of information coming from the environment. The role of clock genes in human physiology is progressively unraveled by relating behavioral and physiological trends with some genomic mutation. Familial advanced sleep phase syndrome [137, 138], delayed sleep phase syndrome and normal variations of morning larks and night owls
phenotypes [139, 140] and diurnal preference [141] have been related with genomic mutation. Further studies realized to understand and manipulate feeding as a synchronizer of central and peripheral clocks might improve the well-being and health of two categories of people: Neonates born either premature or at term who have to organize their circadian rhythms [64, 142] in order to understand the acquisition of consolidated sleep, improve nursing practice and evaluate the impact of diet on the construction of circadian rhythms and its consequence on health of the future adult; the night or shift workers who now represent around 20% of the work force in our societies [143] and are highly concerned by comfort and healthy practices to recover from work efforts.
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